

<p>SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO 2 East 14th Avenue, 4th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203</p>	<p>DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM FILING ID: 895C8DC7B173F CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208</p>
<p>Original Proceeding Pursuant to Art. V, § 44.5 of the Colorado Constitution</p>	
<p>In re Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission</p>	<p>▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</p>
<p><i>Attorneys for Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission:</i></p> <p>Name: Frederick R. Yarger (#39479) Meghan Frei Berglind (#35574) Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP</p> <p>Address: 370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4500 Denver, CO 80202-5647</p> <p>Telephone: 303.244.1800</p> <p>E-mail: yarger@wtotrial.com berglind@wtotrial.com</p> <p>Name: Jerome A. DeHerrera (#35893) Achieve Law Group LLC</p> <p>Address: 146 West 11th Avenue Denver, CO 80204</p> <p>Telephone: 303.465.1000</p> <p>E-mail: jdh@achievelawgroup.com</p> <p>Name: Misha Tseytlin (<i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed) Troutman Pepper</p> <p>Address: 227 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3900 Chicago, IL 60606</p> <p>Telephone: 312.759.1920</p> <p>E-mail: misha.tseytlin@troutman.com</p> <p>Name: Jeremiah B. Barry (#10400) Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff</p> <p>Address: 1580 Logan Street, Suite 430 Denver, Colorado 80203</p> <p>Telephone: (303) 866-4341</p> <p>E-mail: jerry.barry@state.co.us</p>	<p>Case No. 2021SA208</p>
<p>FINAL CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING PLAN</p>	

Pursuant to Section 44.5 of Article V of the Colorado Constitution, the Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission (the Commission) submits its Final Plan dividing the state of Colorado into congressional districts. Maps showing the Final Plan’s division of the state as well as the boundaries of each individual district are attached as Exhibit A. An interactive map is also available at <https://tinyurl.com/cx7pp8cu>.

THE COMMISSION AND ITS WORK

A. Commission composition

The Commission is made up of 12 members: four Democrats, four Republicans, and four unaffiliated voters. Information about the Commissioners and their selection is attached as Exhibit B.

B. Commission meetings

Since the Commission convened on March 15, 2021, it has held 50 meetings. Subcommittees of the Commission—including the Map Analytics, Public Comment, and Public Hearing Schedule subcommittees—have also met regularly. Summaries of Commission meetings are available on the Commission’s website at

<https://tinyurl.com/2ya6yd4f>. Because of the pandemic, Commission meetings were held over the State of Colorado's Zoom platform. Audio of those meetings is available in the Commission's audio archive, available at <https://tinyurl.com/3vzrjwe7>.

C. Commission public hearings and public comments

The Commission held 40 public hearings in locations across the state, with multiple hearings in each existing Congressional district. At least one meeting was held in each Congressional district after final data was released by the U.S. Census Bureau. Members of the public were invited to attend those hearings, either in person or virtually, and give testimony. As few as two and as many as 74 individuals testified at each hearing. Hearing summaries and audio are available at the same links as the meeting summaries and audio. A schedule of all Commission meetings and hearings is attached as Exhibit C.

Members of the public were also invited to submit public comments and proposed redistricting maps through the Commission's website. <https://tinyurl.com/2u33u975>. In response, the Commission received and considered over 5,000 public comments and 170 proposed

maps. Those public comments are available at <https://tinyurl.com/3x6kuuma>. Attachments to those comments, including proposed maps, as well as public comments submitted by e-mail are at <https://tinyurl.com/bmtjv8ty>.

D. Plans Prepared for the Commission by Non-Partisan Staff

The Commission's Non-Partisan Staff has assisted the Commission by, among other things, creating plans for the Commission's consideration. First, on June 23, Staff prepared and released a Preliminary Plan, which was based on preliminary data because of delays in the 2020 Decennial Census caused by the pandemic. *See In re Interrogatories on S.B. 21-247*, 2021 CO 37, ¶¶36–39 (agreeing that the preliminary plan could be prepared before final redistricting-level census data was released). The Preliminary Plan and supporting materials are available at <https://tinyurl.com/svubhry7>.

Final redistricting-level census data was released on August 12. After processing that data, Non-Partisan Staff released the First Staff Plan on September 3. That plan and supporting materials are available at <https://tinyurl.com/hrkwr2h>. A Second Staff Plan was released

September 15. That plan and supporting materials are available at <https://tinyurl.com/6bt7pdd9>. A Third Staff Plan was released September 23, 2021. That plan and supporting materials are available at <https://tinyurl.com/nxjkth28>.

Along with preparing the preliminary and three staff plans, Non-Partisan Staff also prepared seven more plans at the request of Commissioners. Also at the Commissioners' requests, Staff prepared three amendments to the Second Staff Plan and five amendments to the Third Staff Plan. Those Commissioner-requested plans and amendments can be found at <https://tinyurl.com/jtkx8xdz>.

E. Approval of the Final Plan

On September 28, 2021, the Commission voted 11 to 1 to approve the Coleman Amendment to the Third Staff Plan. All four unaffiliated Commissioners voted for this plan, three of the Commission's four Democrats voted for the plan, and all four Republicans voted for the plan. That plan is the Final Plan the Commission has adopted for submission to this Court for judicial review.

After their votes, the Commissioners made statements explaining reasons for their votes. Transcripts of those statements are attached as Exhibit D.

THE FINAL PLAN

I. District descriptions

Colorado was apportioned a new, eighth congressional seat after the 2020 Decennial Census. Accordingly, the Final Plan divides Colorado into eight contiguous districts. *See* Colo. Const. Art. V, § 44.3(1)(a). The districts encompass these areas:

District 1: Congressional District 1 is composed entirely of the area within the boundaries of the City and County of Denver, including the enclaves of Glendale and Holly Hills and several blocks of Jefferson County in southwest Denver with no population. The population of Denver is 715,522. The population of the enclaves of Arapahoe County completely surrounded by Denver add an additional 7,348 for a total population within the boundaries of Denver of 722,870 or 1,156 over the ideal district size of 721,714. Accordingly, the population of Denver from the neighborhoods of Virginia Village and Indian Creek in far eastern

Denver is included in Congressional District 6, which includes areas on the border between eastern Denver and Arapahoe County, rather than in Congressional District 1.

District 2: Congressional District 2 is in the north central part of Colorado. It includes the whole mountainous counties of Clear Creek, Gilpin, Grand, Jackson, Routt, and Summit. It includes the portion of Eagle County not in Congressional District 3. It includes all of the population of Boulder County and extends into Weld County to keep the population of the cities of Erie, Longmont, and Timnath whole. The district includes a small portion of northwestern Jefferson County to make the community of Coal Creek whole. It includes nearly all of Larimer County, including all of the population of the city of Fort Collins, but excluding the cities of Loveland and Wellington and areas surrounding those cities. The population is equalized along the borders between Congressional District 2 and Congressional Districts 4 and 8.

District 3: Congressional District 3 is a western and southern district consisting of the following 26 whole counties: Alamosa, Archuleta, Conejos, Costilla, Delta, Dolores, Garfield, Gunnison,

Hinsdale, Huerfano, La Plata, Las Animas, Mesa, Mineral, Moffat, Montezuma, Montrose, Otero, Ouray, Pitkin, Pueblo, Rio Blanco, Rio Grande, Saguache, San Juan, and San Miguel. In order to keep the Roaring Fork Valley whole, it also includes a portion of Eagle County that includes all of the towns of Basalt and El Jebel. The population is equalized by including an additional portion of Eagle County up to Interstate 70 and east, excluding the Towns of Gypsum and Eagle.

District 4: Congressional District 4 is largely an eastern plains district consisting of the following 15 whole counties: Baca, Bent, Cheyenne, Crowley, Elbert, Kiowa, Kit Carson, Lincoln, Logan, Morgan, Phillips, Prowers, Sedgwick, Washington, and Yuma. It also includes most of the population of Douglas County, except for the portion of the City of Aurora that extends into that county; the eastern portion of El Paso County not in Congressional District 5; the eastern portion of Arapahoe County not in Congressional District 6; and the eastern portion of Adams County not in Congressional District 6 or 8. It includes much of Weld County not in Congressional District 8. It extends into the portions of Larimer County not in Congressional

District 2, primarily the cities of Loveland and Wellington and surrounding areas, and the portion of the town of Windsor in Larimer County. The inclusion of portions of Larimer County equalizes the population of Congressional District 4 with other districts.

District 5: Congressional District 5 is composed of nearly all of El Paso County, including all of Colorado Springs. The area of the Town of Green Mountain Falls in El Paso County is included in Congressional District 7 rather than Congressional District 5 to keep that town whole. The population of El Paso County is 730,395, or 8,681 over the ideal district size. To equalize the population, the eastern portion of El Paso County was moved into Congressional District 4.

District 6: Congressional District 6 consists of western Arapahoe County, including all of the cities of Centennial, Littleton, and Sheridan. It also includes parts of Jefferson County, including the Columbine and Ken Caryl census-designated areas and the portions of the Town of Bow Mar and the City of Littleton that lie in Jefferson County. It includes nearly all of the City of Aurora, including the portions in Adams and Arapahoe Counties. Congressional District 6's

border with Congressional District 4 in Arapahoe County was drawn to equalize the district's population with other districts.

District 7: Congressional District 7 is a Front Range district consisting of the whole counties of Chaffee, Custer, Fremont, Lake, Park, and Teller, plus all of the City and County of Broomfield. It includes nearly all of Jefferson County except for the portion near Coal Creek in District 2, and the Town of Bow Mar and portions of Columbine, Littleton, and Ken Caryl in Congressional District 6. Congressional District 7's border with Congressional District 6 was drawn to equalize the district's population with other districts.

District 8: Congressional District 8 consists of the western portion of Adams County, including all of the cities of Brighton, Commerce City, Northglenn, and Thornton. The district also includes most of the portions of Arvada and Westminster in Adams County. It covers portions of Weld County, including all of the City of Greeley and the Town of Windsor. It includes cities in southern Weld County, including all of Firestone, Frederick, and Mead. It includes cities crossing the border between Boulder, Larimer, and Weld Counties,

including all of Berthoud and Johnstown. Congressional District 8's border with Congressional District 4 was drawn to equalize the district's population with other districts.

II. Constitutional requirements

A. Population equality and demographics

The populations of the districts in the Final Plan are as mathematically equal as possible, with a difference among districts of only one person. *Id.* § 44.3(1)(a). Six of the districts have a population of 721,714 people, and two have a population of 721,715. A Population Summary report that shows this population equality is attached as Exhibit E. That report also provides the ethnic and racial composition of each district, listing the number of individuals who identified as Hispanic and non-Hispanic and breaking down the non-Hispanic population further based on racial identification.

B. Compliance with the Voting Rights Act

The final plan complies with the federal Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301 (the VRA). *See* Colo. Const. Art. V, § 44.3(1)(b). The Commission's non-partisan staff considered whether Section 2 of the

VRA requires creation of a majority-minority district or districts. Staff concluded there is not a sufficiently large and geographically compact voting-age minority population to create a majority-minority congressional district that complies with the other requirements of Section 2 of the VRA, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court. The Commission did not receive any public comments that suggested otherwise.

C. Preservation of communities of interest

Through the public hearing and comment process, the Commission gathered information about communities of interest throughout the state and has sought to keep key communities of interest, as defined in Amendment Y, as intact as reasonably possible. *Id.* §§ 44.3(2)(a). The Commissioners gathered information about communities of interest at over 40 public hearings and from more than 5,000 public comments. That public input described many different communities of interest around the state. While it was impossible to keep all of those communities intact, this public input informed the

Commissioner's decisions and played a central role in the creation and approval of the final plan.

D. Preservation of political subdivisions

The Commission and Non-Partisan Staff preserved whole political subdivisions as much as reasonably possible. *Id.* §44.3(2)(a). When it was necessary to divide a whole political subdivision to maintain equal population between districts, the Commission kept key communities of interest together in the same district as much as reasonably possible. Of the 64 counties in Colorado, only eleven are split, and these splits are necessary to comply with other redistricting criteria. A report describing the county splits is attached as Exhibit F.

The Commission also preserved whole cities and towns as much as reasonably possible. If municipalities are on the border of two counties, they have either been kept whole in a single district or split at the county line. A report describing the city splits is attached as Exhibit G.

E. Compactness

The Commission and Non-Partisan staff kept the districts as compact as reasonably possible while also accounting for the other

constitutional factors. *Id.* § 44.3(b)(2). A report on compactness is attached as Exhibit H. This report shows the area and perimeter of each district along with the districts' ratings on five different compactness scales. On the Reock, Area/Convex Hull, and Polsby Popper scales, districts become more compact as scores approach 1.0. On the Grofman and Schwartzberg scales, districts with lower scores are more compact.

F. Political competitiveness

As required by Article V, Section 44.3(3)(c), Non-Partisan Staff has prepared an analysis of the political competitiveness of the districts in the Final Plan. That report, which includes tables addressing the partisan composition of districts and past election results of each district, is attached as Exhibit I. After considering other mandatory constitutional factors, the Commission maximized the number of politically competitive districts to the extent possible. *Id.* §44.3(3)(a).

G. No protection of incumbents or candidates

The Commission and the Non-Partisan Staff affirms that the Final Plan was not drawn for the purpose of protecting any incumbent

members of the House of Representatives, any declared candidates, or any political parties. *Id.* § 44.3(4)(a).

H. No denial or abridgement of the right to vote on account of race or membership in a language minority group

The Final Plan was not drawn for the purpose of, and does not result in, the denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen to vote on account of that person's race or membership in a language minority group, including diluting the impact of that racial or language minority group's electoral influence. *Id.* § 44.3(4)(b).

SCHEDULE FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Commission initiated this proceeding by filing a petition for judicial review and request to establish a briefing schedule on July 13, 2021. In response, this Court issued a July 26, 2021 Order that set a deadline for "all interested parties" to file briefs seven days after the Commission submits its final plan to this Court, but in no event later than October 8, 2021. The Court also scheduled oral argument for 1:00 pm on October 12. In accordance with the Court's July 26, 2021 order,

the Commission will file a brief in support of the Final Plan by noon on October 8 and will present oral argument on October 12.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The Commission requests that the Court, after reviewing this filing and all attachments, as well as the briefs that will be submitted in support of the Final Plan, conclude that the Commission complied with federal constitutional and statutory law in drawing the Final Plan; conclude that the Commission did not abuse its discretion in applying the criteria listed in section 44.3 of article V of the Constitution; approve the Final Plan; and order it to be filed with the Secretary of State.

Dated: October 1, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Frederick R. Yarger

Frederick R. Yarger
Meghan Frei Berglind
Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP

Jerome A. DeHerrera
Achieve Law Group LLC

Misha Tseytlin (*pro hac vice*
application to be filed)
Troutman Pepper

Jeremiah B. Barry
Colorado Independent Redistricting
Commissions Staff

*Attorneys for the Colorado
Independent Congressional
Redistricting Commission*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 1, 2021, a true and correct copy of **FINAL CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING PLAN** was filed with the Court via Colorado Courts E-Filing System, with e-service to the following:

LeeAnn Morrill	()	First Class Mail
Grant T. Sullivan	()	Hand Delivery
Peter G. Baumann	()	Facsimile
Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center	()	Overnight Delivery
1300 Broadway, 6th Floor	(X)	Colorado Courts E-Filing
Denver, CO 80203	()	E-mail
Leeann.morrill@coag.gov		
Grant.sullivan@coag.gov		
Peter.baumann@coag.gov		
Attorneys for the Colorado Secretary of State		
Richard C. Kaufman	()	First Class Mail
Law Office of Richard C. Kaufman PC, Inc.	()	Hand Delivery
6464 S. Newport Court	()	Facsimile
Centennial, CO 80111	()	Overnight Delivery
rkaufmanco@gmail.com	(X)	Colorado Courts E-Filing
Attorneys for the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission	()	E-mail
Timothy R. Odil	()	First Class Mail
Peters Schulte Odil & Wallshein LLC	()	Hand Delivery
6125 Sky Pond Drive, Suite 250	()	Facsimile
Loveland, CO 80538	()	Overnight Delivery
todil@noco.law	(X)	Colorado Courts E-Filing
Attorneys for the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission	()	E-mail

Mark G. Grueskin
Recht Kornfeld, P.C.
1600 Stout St., Ste. 1400
Denver, CO 80202
mark@rklawpc.com
Attorney for Fair Lines Colorado

- First Class Mail
- Hand Delivery
- Facsimile
- Overnight Delivery
- Colorado Courts E-Filing
- E-mail

s/ Trisha Miller

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan


DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit A

Final Approved Plan Maps




Colorado Congressional Districts (Final Approved Plan)





Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 1 (Final Approved Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Congressional District 2 (Final Approved Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff, 1 September 28, 2021

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 3 (Third Staff Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff. September 28, 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Independent
Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 4 (Final Approved Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 5 (Final Approved Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 6 (Final Approved Plan)




Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 7 (Final Approved Plan)



Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff. September 28, 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Alamosa

Las Animas



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Congressional District 8 (Final Approved Plan)



Map prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021.

Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 88C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit B

**Members of the Colorado Independent Congressional
Redistricting Commission**



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff

1580 Logan Street, Suite 430
Denver, CO 80203
303-866-2652

colorado.redistricting2020@state.co.us

Members of the Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission

The Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission consists of 12 members: 4 from the state's largest political party, which is currently the Democratic Party; 4 from the state's second largest political party, which is currently the Republican Party; and 4 who are not affiliated with any political party. Each commission must include at least one member residing in each current congressional district and at least one member from the Western Slope. Each commission must, to the extent possible, reflect Colorado's racial, ethnic, gender, and geographic diversity. The members of the commissions are appointed from a pool of applicants as described below. The law also addresses how to remove a commissioner and fill a vacancy.

Phase One. Individuals apply using online application process.

Phase Two. Nonpartisan staff review of applications for the initial applicant pool. Applicants are reviewed for minimum qualifications specified in the constitution regarding affiliation and voting.

Phase Three. The judicial panel randomly selects 300 Democrats, 300 Republicans, and 450 unaffiliated voters to establish a selection pool of 1,050 people.

Phase Four. The judicial panel reviews applications and narrows the pool down to 50 Democrats, 50 Republicans, and 50 unaffiliated voters to establish a pool of 150 people.

Phase Five. The judicial panel randomly selects 6 commissioners (2 Democrats, 2 Republicans, and 2 unaffiliated voters) from the 150-person pool.

Phase Six. 4 legislative leaders select 10 applicants each from the initial applicant pool and submit them to the judicial panel.

Phase Seven. The judicial panel selects 4 commissioners, 1 from each of the legislative leaders' pools.

Phase Eight. The judicial panel selects 2 commissioners from the original pool of 450 randomly selected unaffiliated voters.

Table 1 lists the 12 commissioners and how they were selected.

Table 1
Members of the Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission

Name	Party Affiliation	Residence	Congressional District	Appointment Type
Jolie Brawner	U	Denver	1	Lot Process
Martha Coleman	D	Fort Collins	2	Legislative Leadership
Moussa Diawara	U	Colorado Springs	5	Judicial Panel
Paula Espinoza	D	Littleton	4	Lot Process
Carly Hare	U	Firestone	4	Judicial Panel
Jason Kelly	R	Alamosa	3	Legislative Leadership
William Leone	R	Westminster	7	Lot Process
Danny Moore	R	Centennial	6	Lot Process
Lori Smith Schell	U	Durango	3	Lot Process
JulieMarie Shepherd Macklin	R	Aurora	6	Legislative Leadership
Simon Tafoya	D	Denver	1	Legislative Leadership
Elizabeth Wilkes	D	Colorado Springs	5	Lot Process

Below is a biography of each commissioner, provided by the commissioners themselves and posted on the commission’s website.

Jolie Brawner. Jolie Brawner is a passionate leader dedicated to serving her community. She is recognized for her collaborative leadership style, proactive approach, and keen ability to really connect with other people. A proven leader with a strong executive presence, Jolie is capable of blending big-picture viewpoints with tactical considerations to inspire, build trust, and achieve record community growth. As the Executive Director of the Washington Street Community Center for over five years Jolie has worked to unite the neighbors and neighborhoods of south-central Denver, cultivating an inter-generational gathering place for the community providing services to meet the needs of families, seniors, children, and adults. Jolie is passionate about fostering community connections, preserving our “third-spaces” and creating a true community HUB celebrating inclusivity, diversity, and civic engagement.

Jolie has supported a number of local organizations and causes through avid volunteer work. She was instrumental in founding March On Colorado, and was a Lead Organizer on the first two Women’s Marches in Denver (2017, and 2018). She is also a frequent volunteer with the Asian Pacific Development Center for their Citizenship workshops, and citizenship classes.

While she is dedicated to serving her community, Jolie also finds time to enjoy all Colorado has to offer. An avid backpacker, she loves spending time in the backcountry with her husband Joey and their German Shepard, Granola.

Jolie Holds a MA of International Affairs, specializing in Eastern European and Russian Studies, from Florida State University.

Martha Coleman. Graduating from Pennsylvania State University in 1985 with a Bachelor of Science in Geography, Martha accepted a mapping position with the U.S. Forest Service and headed “out west” to make Colorado her home. Throughout her 35-year career as a geographer, Martha has focused her passion on creating maps that communicate complicated

data. Over the years, her maps have been used in problem solving and decision making for various organizations. Martha's work has carried her to many places, near and far, including Thailand, Venezuela, and even Davenport, Iowa. Her positions have included GIS (Geographic Information Systems) and remote sensing analyst with the Bureau of Indian Affairs National Mapping Center and the Bureau of Land Management, GIS-based software developer for utility clients in the U.S and abroad at (Telvent) Miner and Miner Engineering, and Research Associate for modeling of grasslands through the Short Grass Steppe–Long Term Ecological Research network (SGS-LTER). Martha is currently a Planning Specialist in Facilities Management at Colorado State University (CSU).

While at CSU, Martha discovered a love for teaching, and greatly values the committee work she has done with the Office of the Vice President for Diversity since 2013. She played a key role in the creation of the “CSU Interactive Map” and also the “Inclusive Physical and Virtual Campus Policy and Standards”. When not at work, she spends time with her awesome family and seeks time to garden and explore wide open spaces.

Moussa Diawara. Dr. Moussa M. Diawara has been a Professor of Biology at Colorado State University Pueblo (CSU Pueblo) for over 25 years and was recently chair of the Biology Department for three years. His managerial background also includes being Director of the Master in Biology Program at CSU Pueblo for seven years. Outside collaboration with research scientists at other institutions across the US helped Moussa approach teaching and critical thinking from a broader perspective. He chaired and/or served on several committees at CSU Pueblo, including faculty senate committees and the University Board on Diversity and Equality. He conducted several community outreach research projects, working with stakeholders and thus gaining experience about how to effectively seek and capitalize on community input. Serving professional organizations at the local, regional, national and international levels also improved his personal skills in working with people from different backgrounds and reaching agreement.

Moussa received his PhD in Biology in 1991 from the University of Georgia, his Master's degree in Agronomy in 1987 from the University of Georgia, and his BS in Agricultural Engineering in 1979 from the Institut Polytechnique Rural de Katibougou (Mali). He also holds a Certificate in Economic Development (1996) from the University of California Riverside and a Certificate in Mediation (2012) from Colorado State University. As a delighted Coloradan, Moussa looks forward to the experience of working on the commission.

Paula Espinoza. Paula Espinoza is a recently retired clinical associate professor of ethnic studies from the University of Colorado –Denver (UCD). She also previously held a secondary appointment in Community Behavioral Health at the Colorado School of Public Health, and was the Director for Health Research at UCD's Latino/a Research & Policy Center. She received her PhD in sociocultural psychology from the University of Colorado-Boulder. Her career training includes completing a National Cancer Institute post-doctoral fellowship at the University of Texas School of Public Health-Houston, completing the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Regional Institute for Health and Environmental Leadership, and receiving the distinction of Health Disparities Scholar by the National Institute on Minority Health & Health Disparities. Her past volunteer work in Colorado includes serving as the former Chair of the Colorado Cancer Coalition, serving on the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment's Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening Program Advisory Board, the Colorado Cancer, Cardiovascular and Chronic Pulmonary Disease Grant Program Review Committee, and the Susan G. Komen Race for the Cure grant review committee. Originally from San

Antonio, Texas, she grew up all over the U.S. as a proud Marine Corps “brat.” She’s lived in Colorado for over 30 years and is honored to serve as a Commissioner for this important work.

Jason Kelly. Jason Kelly has been the Alamosa County Attorney since March of 2008. He obtained his undergraduate degree in Political Science from the University of Colorado, Colorado Springs in 1998, and his juris doctorate from the William H. Bowen School of Law in Little Rock, Arkansas in 2004. Jason returned to Colorado in 2006 and opened a private practice before joining Alamosa County full-time in 2011. He is a member of the Colorado Bar Association and the San Luis Valley Bar Association and has served as past Secretary/Treasurer, Vice-President, and President of the SLV Bar Association. He has been an active board member of the SLV Pro Bono Project since 2010 and was recently named to the Colorado Diverse Attorney Community Circle (CODACC). In addition to legal responsibilities Jason serves as the primary contact for Unmanned Aircraft Operations for SLVUAS; serves on the UAS Advisory Board for Trinidad State Junior College; is a recent Part 107 remote pilot; and an adjunct professor at Adams State University. In his spare time, Jason enjoys spending time with his wife and 5 children, completing triathlons, and serving his community.

William Leone. Bill Leone has been a practicing trial lawyer in Colorado since 1981. He is a Colorado native. He was born in Trinidad, attended school in Colorado Springs, worked in the family construction business all throughout the state of Colorado while attending school, went to college at Colorado State University and then graduated from law school at the University of Colorado in 1981. Since then, he has been a partner of local, national and global law firms. For the past ten years he has been a partner at the law firm of Norton Rose Fulbright LLP. From 2001 to 2006 he served in the United States Attorneys Office for the District of Colorado, as First Assistant United States Attorney, and then as United States Attorney. Since 2013 he has served on the Colorado Independent Ethics Commission as a Republican member, vice chair and chair. He was appointed to one term by then Governor John Hickenlooper and then for a second term by the Colorado Senate by unanimous resolution. He represents individuals and corporations, plaintiffs and defendants, and maintains an active law practice in courts in numerous jurisdictions throughout the United States.

Danny Moore. Danny Moore is a native of Bossier City, LA. Danny served 24 years in the United States Navy before retiring in 2005. He served in several challenging leadership positions throughout his Naval career with his final assignment being Senior Enlisted Advisor within the Aerospace Data Facility Colorado. He was only the second Navy personnel to hold the position in the history of the facility. Danny holds the distinction of being the first Black male to achieve the rank of CTTTCM (Cryptologic Technician Technical Master Chief). Danny holds several services awards the highest being the Meritorious Service Medal and the National Security Agency Director Distinguish Service Medal.

After the Navy, Danny served in several roles while employed by BAE Systems Inc. These include Program Manager and Director of Global Operations where he oversaw large-scale programs of over \$250M in value. Danny is President and Owner of DeNOVO Solutions, LLC, a Black-owned / Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business providing scientific, engineering, technical, and operational support services to the Department of Defense. In 2016 DeNOVO Solutions purchased Thornberry Consulting to which Mr. Moore holds the titles of President / Owner. The two companies operate in Colorado, Maryland, Virginia, New Mexico, Georgia, Australia, and the United Kingdom. Danny has nearly 40 years of operational and business experience in Defense, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance.

Danny is a graduate of numerous Navy leadership and technical schools. He holds a Bachelor

of Science in Organizational Management from Colorado Christian University and an MBA in International Business from the University of Phoenix. He is PMP (Program Management Professional) and a SAFe® Scaled Agilist certified. Danny is a resident of Centennial and he has lived in Arapahoe County for the past 25 years. He is married to Mrs. Susan Rutt and has two adult children, Constance and Devin Moore.

Lori Smith Schell. Lori Smith Schell has been a self-employed energy economist since moving with her husband and three daughters to Durango in 2002. She holds a Ph.D. in Mineral Economics and Operations Research, reflecting her dual interests in resource economics and analytics. Lori is a “numbers guy” who has built her professional reputation on providing objective, unbiased analyses to support well-informed decision making. As a life-long unaffiliated voter, she will apply the same objective, unbiased analysis to the important task of Congressional redistricting.

JulieMarie Shepherd Macklin. Dr. Shepherd Macklin is a faculty member at the University of Colorado, Boulder and serves as the Academic Director with the Presidents Leadership Class at CU. In this role, Shepherd Macklin teaches a variety of leadership development, research, and political science classes. Dr. Shepherd Macklin’s professional experiences prior to CU were all centered around applied research and program evaluation. The roles included time at the Colorado Department of Education, Spark Policy Institute, and the US Government Accountability Office.

JulieMarie received her doctorate in political science from CU Boulder. In addition to studying political science as an academic discipline, JulieMarie has volunteered, worked, and been active in legislative and policy making processes at the state and local level for as long as she can remember. Her civic involvement began in middle school when JulieMarie was a founding member and chair of the Aurora Youth Commission. During undergrad, her internship at the Colorado State Capitol was a formative experience that sparked JulieMarie’s interest in all things state and local politics and policy. Seeking out a more active way to serve and help translate community needs into policy actions, JulieMarie ran for and was elected to the Aurora Public Schools Board of Education in 2009. During her two terms, including three years as board president, she worked to build consensus and collaboration among board members, chaired a national superintendent search process, and continually advocated for all students in the APS community. Across her total eight years on the school board, she navigated issues ranging from absorbing major budget cuts, to implementing state legislation about teacher effectiveness, and building transparent, effective working relationships with the broader community.

Currently, Dr. Shepherd Macklin sits on the City of Aurora Budget Advisory Committee and serves as the committee’s secretary. Additionally, she is a member of the Aurora Public Schools Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee. JulieMarie has been involved in her community in numerous ways. Highlights include: raising 15 puppies as a volunteer with Guide Dogs for the Blind; volunteering with the Arapahoe County 4-H youth development program; serving on the Aurora Human Relations Commission; and being elected by statewide school board peers to the Colorado Association of School Boards, including serving as CASB Board President in 2016. Other organizations Dr. Shepherd Macklin has been involved with over the years include: the CU Boulder Instructor-Track Faculty Affairs Committee, the Urban Council of Boards of Education (executive steering committee member), Rocky Mountain School of Expeditionary Learning (BOCES board member), the Colorado Commission on Higher Education’s Advisory Committee, and the Aurora Public Schools Foundation Board.

JulieMarie lives in south east Aurora with her husband Michael and their dogs. When she's not teaching in the classroom, you can find JulieMarie in the kitchen baking and decorating custom sugar cookies for her cottage foods cookie business or traveling to explore a new place!

Simon Tafoya. Simon Tafoya is a Colorado native, growing up in Pueblo County. He has served in a variety of roles in the public, private and nonprofit sectors. Early in his career he worked as a Fellow at El Pomar Foundation in Colorado Springs, where he worked to bolster philanthropic giving in rural areas of Colorado. He then went on to serve as legislative staff to U.S. Senator Harry Reid of Nevada and U.S. Senator Mark Udall of Colorado, working on issues such as agriculture, immigration and Native American issues. Tafoya later joined the office of Governor Hickenlooper, where he led efforts on technology, telecommunications, cybersecurity and federal relations. Most recently, he served as Managing Director for a government technology company, Paylt.

Tafoya received his Bachelors of Arts from The Colorado College and a Masters of Public Affairs and Urban and Regional Planning from Princeton University. He resides in Denver, Colorado where he remains active with a variety of civic and nonprofit organizations.

Elizabeth Wilkes. Resident of Colorado Springs and Congressional District 5. Currently getting her degree in Mathematics at Pikes Peak Community College, while working as a reference tech at the school library. Previously she worked for Hewlett Packard Enterprise for almost 15 years, a substantial portion of that time was in data management and analysis, working with "big data."

Her political background includes working for Misty Plowright's CD5 Campaign in 2016. She has volunteered for the El Paso County Democratic party as the Leader of the Assembly and Convention organizational teams for 2018 (in person) and facilitated and organized 2020 online during the beginning of the COVID pandemic.

Highly skilled in mathematical and data analysis, she is proficient at facilitating meetings where varying viewpoints are expressed and then working to synthesize those points of view into a cohesive unit. She is excited to use these skills to help the Colorado Congressional Commission facilitate a fair and equitable map.

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit C

Meetings and Public Hearings of the Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission



Colorado Independent
Redistricting Commissions

Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff

1580 Logan Street, Suite 430
Denver, CO 80203
303-866-2652

colorado.redistricting2020@state.co.us

Meetings and Public Hearings of the Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission

The Colorado Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission first convened March 15, 2021. Since then the full commission has met 50 times and held 40 public hearings. The list and table below detail these meetings.

Meeting Dates and Times:

- March 15, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - March 22, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - March 29, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 5, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 7, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 12, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 14, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 19, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 21, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 26, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - April 28, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 3, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 5, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 10, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 12, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 17, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 19, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 24, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - May 26, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 2, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 7, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 9, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 14, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 23, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 28, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - June 30, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - July 7, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - July 12, 2021, 12:00 PM
 - July 19, 2021, 2:00 PM
 - July 28, 2021, 2:00 PM
-

- August 2, 2021, 2:00 PM
- August 9, 2021, 6:00 PM
- August 12, 2021, 2:00 PM
- August 16, 2021, 2:00 PM
- August 23, 2021, 2:00 PM
- August 26, 2021, 2:00 PM
- August 30, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 1, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 6, 2021, 6:00 PM
- September 8, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 10, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 13, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 16, 2021, 6:00 PM
- September 17, 2021, 3:00 PM
- September 20, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 22, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 24, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 25, 2021, 10:00 AM
- September 27, 2021, 2:00 PM
- September 28, 2021, 6:00 PM

Public Hearings:

Date	Time	Location	Joint Hearing with Legislative Commission?
July 9, 2021	7:00 PM	Lamar	X
July 10, 2021	11:00 AM	Burlington	X
July 10, 2021	7:00 PM	Sterling	X
July 13, 2021	7:00 PM	Arvada	X
July 14, 2021	7:00 PM	Denver	X
July 17, 2021	12:00 PM	Fort Collins	X
July 20, 2021	7:00 PM	Lakewood	X
July 21, 2021	7:00 PM	Sheridan	X
July 23, 2021	7:00 PM	Steamboat Springs	X
July 24, 2021	11:00 AM	Craig	X
July 27, 2021	7:00 PM	Denver	X
July 28, 2021	7:00 PM	Aurora	X
July 29, 2021	2:00 PM	Salida	
July 30, 2021	11:00 AM	Montrose	X
July 30, 2021	7:00 PM	Grand Junction	X
July 31, 2021	11:00 AM	Carbondale	X
July 31, 2021	7:00 PM	Breckenridge	X
August 3, 2021	12:00 PM	Littleton	
August 3, 2021	7:00 PM	Centennial	X
August 4, 2021	7:00 PM	Golden	X
August 5, 2021	2:00 PM	Colorado Springs	
August 6, 2021	11:00 AM	Trinidad	X
August 6, 2021	7:00 PM	Alamosa	X
August 7, 2021	1:00 PM	Durango	X

August 9, 2021	7:00 PM	Manitou Springs	
August 10, 2021	7:00 PM	Longmont	X
August 11, 2021	7:00 PM	Boulder	X
August 14, 2021	12:00 PM	Greeley	X
August 18, 2021	7:00 PM	Highlands Ranch	X
August 20, 2021	11:00 AM	Woodland Park	X
August 20, 2021	7:00 PM	Pueblo	X
August 21, 2021	11:00 AM	Canon City	X
August 21, 2021	7:00 PM	Buena Vista	X
August 24, 2021	7:00 PM	Commerce City	X
August 25, 2021	7:00 PM	Brighton	X
August 28, 2021	7:00 PM	Colorado Springs	X
September 7, 2021	6:00 PM	Denver	
September 8, 2021	9:00 AM	Limon/Fountain	
September 9, 2021	1:00 PM	Eagle/Grand Lake	
September 10, 2021	9:00 AM	Aurora/Thornton	

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit D

**Excerpts from Transcript of the Independent
Redistricting Commission Meeting**

September 28, 2021

AUDIO-RECORDED TRANSCRIPTION OF
INDEPENDENT CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
DATE: SEPTEMBER 28, 2021
TRANSCRIBED: September 30, 2021

TRANSCRIPT PROVIDED BY:
PATTERSON TRANSCRIPTION COMPANY
P.O. Box 378037
Denver, Colorado 80231
(303) 755-4536

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (Requested portion of audio began at 11:55 a.m.)

3 JEROME: Let's go in the same order, if folks are
4 prepared to make a statement for the record.

5 Commissioner Coleman.

6 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Thank you, Chair and
7 commissioners and Jerome. I'm not sure who is -- Jerome, I
8 believe you're the MC at the moment and so thank you.

9 I don't have a statement prepared, but I do want to
10 say that it has been incredibly -- just an incredible journey
11 to -- from where we started to where we are now. Having
12 heard so much public comment and hearing testimony and having
13 the deliberative conversations we've had to put together the
14 kind of map and, you know, I -- I feel it has been a process
15 of the entire Commission to do this and the debate and have
16 appreciated all sides of that and feel a sense of -- that
17 this Commission, each and every commissioner here has spoken
18 and been passionate about the -- the mapping that we've done,
19 one side and the other, and I just want to say I appreciate
20 that people have stayed fully engaged and attended, you know,
21 as much as possible, and also thank the staff.

22 I didn't get to do my thank you's at the beginning
23 and so we couldn't have done this without the staff, I'll
24 just say that too. Or the public participation.

25 Thank you.

1 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

2 Commissioner Diawara.

3 COMMISSIONER DIAWARA: Thank you, Jerome.

4 Yeah, it was a long process, but in the interests
5 of the people of Colorado, I am willing to uphold this Staff
6 Plan 3 Coleman amendment to be the Commission's final plan.
7 And here are some of the reasons I have voted yes to the
8 plan.

9 It did follow the guidelines and recommendations
10 adopted by the Commission, taking into consideration a number
11 of issues, including keeping the City and County of Denver
12 whole to the extent possible, keeping the City of Colorado
13 Springs whole, keeping all the military basis in El Paso
14 County in a single district. That was important to me
15 being -- you know, personally.

16 The plan respects and keeps together a wide range
17 of communities of interests. We heard from public -- the
18 public during the hearings and, you know, reading public
19 comment. The plan also keeps political subdivisions together
20 as much as possible. Some cities, of course, had to be split
21 and some counties, including my own county, El Paso, also had
22 to be split because the redirecting process is based on
23 numbers. And also seeing the plan creates districts that are
24 reasonably compact. We saw some districts like City 1 and 6
25 could be, you know, potentially redrawn to be more compact;

1 however, the boundaries are reasonable and these keep
2 communities of interest together.

3 Considering the requirement for keeping communities
4 of interest and political subdivisions together, I believe
5 this plan provides a competitive, you know, enough, you know,
6 some districts that are (inaudible), which is also reflected
7 by public (inaudible).

8 It is important, finally, to mention that the plan
9 was not drawn to protect any incumbents, candidates or
10 parties and it was not drawn to dilute the electoral
11 influence or the voting rights of any languages or racial
12 minority groups.

13 My name is Moussa Diawara, commissioner from City
14 5, professor of biology at Colorado State University.

15 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

16 Commissioner Espinoza.

17 COMMISSIONER ESPINOZA: Thank you, Chair.

18 I also don't have any real prepared comments. I
19 would say that I echo everything that Commissioner Diawara
20 just outlined. I think that -- I voted for this map because
21 it -- in the interest of compromise, that this was one of the
22 maps that was an alternative map for me. It does a very good
23 job of addressing most of the comments that we have heard
24 from public comment in hearings and written. I think it's
25 very responsive to those requests regarding communities of

1 interest.

2 It's exciting to know that District 8 is very
3 competitive. I believe the score was 1. something -- 1.3,
4 and it adheres to all of our constitutional criteria that
5 we've been charged with doing. I think it represents
6 iterative process of consideration among all of the
7 commissioners from across the state in taking into
8 consideration everything that we have heard from the public.

9 The plan, I agree, was not drawn to protect any
10 incumbents, candidates or party and it was not drawn to
11 dilute the electoral influence or voting rights of any
12 language or racial minority.

13 Thank you.

14 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

15 Commissioner Kelly.

16 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Thanks, Jerome.

17 Yeah, I don't have anything prepared either. I
18 would just, first of all, want to thank everybody and the
19 public for sticking in there with us. We're approaching the
20 midnight hour.

21 In terms of why I voted for this map, I think when
22 you start looking at the second staff map, we had that
23 majority, we started coming together and then it was just a
24 small gamut that Commissioner Coleman made. And I think it
25 does meet all of the criteria that we have in the

1 Constitution. It's mathematically precise and it also
2 complies with the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

3 I also think that it takes a reasonable approach in
4 terms of the counties and political subdivisions that it
5 split. We heard earlier today, Commissioner Brawner provided
6 us a report that we've had 5,188 comments that we listened to
7 as we ran around the state over the last five months, and
8 that's not including the testimony that we heard from
9 numerous individuals who provided us feedback on their
10 communities.

11 And overall, I think this Commission took very
12 seriously the comments that were made both written and
13 spoken, and also all of the maps that were submitted. And I
14 can't express enough of my gratitude for the maps analytic
15 committee, too, for going through all of those maps and the
16 time that they spent in order to -- to look at those ideas
17 and really vet those out.

18 I also believe that it is important that we try to
19 find some competitiveness here. And the fact that CD8 is as
20 competitive as it is in this map, certainly I think with us
21 getting a new district, and that being the most competitive
22 district I believe on this map, is a good step forward as we
23 continue to grow in Colorado and as we will certainly
24 continue to get more districts. And I think it set a
25 wonderful precedent as future commissions look at

1 competitiveness and as those districts get added to try and
2 maintain that as the districts grow and keep them as
3 competitive as possible.

4 So, again, I think this map does a good job of
5 compromise. Certainly not everything I wanted, I know that,
6 but it does a great job, I think, of balancing those
7 interests on a statewide level, and I appreciate everybody's
8 work on that.

9 So thank you.

10 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

11 Commissioner Leone.

12 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Thank you, Jerome.

13 I too share the sentiments of gratitude towards all
14 the commissioners and the staff. The staff worked incredibly
15 hard. I don't think I've ever seen a staff work harder than
16 they did during this process, and especially given the
17 shortened timeframe. And although we have not often had an
18 opportunity to thank them for their work and their hours, I
19 would feel bad if we didn't do that tonight. So thank you to
20 the staff.

21 You know, I think that the -- first of all, I echo
22 everything that has been said so far. Commissioner Diawara
23 gave a very good outline of why I voted for this map, but at
24 a higher level, at 50,000 feet, you know, we -- I think this
25 is a better map than would have been drawn by a partisan

1 legislature by far, which means that the amendment did what
2 it was intended to do.

3 I think we learned during the process that people
4 have different views about things and can take a different
5 viewpoint of a community of interest, a different viewpoint
6 on the importance of capability of keeping jurisdictions
7 together, but I do think that it was a productive debate that
8 resulted in balance. I think balance to me is the key word,
9 that we balanced a lot of competing interests in a way that
10 no one, I don't think, can really responsibly challenge.

11 The fact that this was not a partisan process I
12 think could not be more in evidence than the rounds of voting
13 tonight. When we were unable to reach a super majority early
14 in the evening and began to consider other maps, I concur
15 with Commissioner Wilkes, at one point we had two democrats
16 and two republicans supporting an alternative map and that
17 doesn't happen in a partisan process. That's evidence of a
18 good faith, nonpartisan approach to the problem. And so I'm
19 thankful for that and I think that will not go unnoticed as
20 the Supreme Court reviews this map.

21 And lastly, I will apologize to everyone for any
22 moments of intemperance or additional passion. I've been a
23 trial lawyer for many years. No one has ever accused me of
24 not being passionate about the things that I believe in. I
25 simply know no other way, but I do think that one consequence

1 of that is everybody knows where I'm coming from all the
2 time. I don't hide it. I put it out on the table and I
3 advocate for it, whether you like it or not. But I apologize
4 if I offended anyone in the course of the debate.

5 Thank you.

6 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

7 Commissioner Shepherd Macklin.

8 COMMISSIONER SHEPHERD MACKLIN: Thank you. I am
9 ecstatic that we have reached this point, and I see it as a
10 true success and a true win.

11 When I reflect on the art of this Commission,
12 really going all the way back to the -- the election fees and
13 leading up to the adoption of Amendments Y and Z and even
14 really probably prior to that, in the conversations that were
15 happening in a bipartisan fashion, I knew that this was --
16 this represented such an important idea and critical work and
17 a real unique opportunity for Colorado.

18 And I think when I -- I remember talking about and
19 doing lesson plans around these amendments in class, and I
20 don't think at that time I ever would have imagined I would
21 be sitting here tonight, and so it really is an honor to have
22 served Colorado in doing this work, being part of the
23 inaugural commission and learning and getting into the weeds
24 and learning more about synthesis blocks than I ever thought
25 I would alongside each and everyone of you and I truly do

1 want to reiterate my gratitude.

2 One of the student projects researching this, I
3 remember a student comment that a student asked me or made
4 the statement of: Why would someone be opposed to this
5 process? It just makes sense.

6 And when I think about this map and where we've
7 ended tonight, it just makes sense. And I think back on
8 the -- the work that we've done, holding 44 hearings, hearing
9 from nearly a thousand individuals at those hearings with
10 even more attending, traveling thousands of miles
11 collectively to understand and see communities and get
12 insights into people's live experiences in all parts of the
13 state, reviewing the thousands of public comments, almost 200
14 maps. We've held time and space to discuss our work and
15 we've done so in a methodical, thoughtful, professional way.
16 Sometimes it has been heated or impassioned, but I think our
17 north star has always been doing the work that this
18 Commission was charged to do and I am grateful for that.

19 And all of that work and that energy has brought us
20 to this point today, and so again, I'll use the word ecstatic
21 and enthusiastic that I could support this plan and cast this
22 vote this evening.

23 This map honors our constitutional considerations
24 of equal compliance -- or equal population, compliance with
25 the Voting Rights Act, contiguity, preserving communities of

1 interest, being mindful of political subdivisions,
2 compactness and competitiveness. And this is a tall order to
3 take into account all of these factors, especially since some
4 of them were less clearly defined in the Constitution than
5 others. However, because of the work we've done, I'm
6 confident that we have appropriately given attention to all
7 of these factors and that work is reflected in this map.

8 I see merits and the intentionality of this map
9 across the entire state, but a couple of things I just want
10 to point out from my corner of the state in Aurora in the
11 current CD6, that I especially appreciate the city of Aurora
12 was kept whole. This did mean some splits to places like
13 Douglas County, Arapahoe County and Adams County; however,
14 that split was reasonable and in direct response to public
15 comment. And I think that it is -- that fact is mirrored in
16 other places where we did have to make those difficult
17 decisions to potentially split a county or a city boundary.

18 I would reiterate that this plan was not drawn to
19 protect incumbent candidates or specific party and it was not
20 intended or drawn to dilute any electoral influence or voting
21 rights of language or a racial minority group.

22 I will just end with gratitude and appreciation for
23 the process and excitement that we have done this work and
24 have made history as a Commission in Colorado.

25 Thank you.

1 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

2 Commissioner Moore.

3 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you, Jerome.

4 Wow, what a journey this has been. You know, in
5 2018 when the citizens voted to end political gerrymandering
6 in our state, many did not believe that 12 citizens -- four
7 republicans, four democrats and four unaffiliated -- could
8 actually get the job done, but I think tonight has shown the
9 purposefulness and the intent that we've had to do what is
10 right for Colorado, and I think every commissioner has been
11 devoted toward that.

12 This plan does not violate the 1965 Voting Right
13 Act. I think we've done a good job of connecting communities
14 of interest using a thread that Commissioner Brawner often
15 talked about. We've had over 5,000 comments that we reviewed
16 to ensure that we understood those communities of interest
17 and we held twice as many public hearings as we were required
18 to have by the Constitution.

19 The plan we have is competitive, but we didn't
20 sacrifice community of interest for competitiveness. No plan
21 itself is perfect, but I believe this plan reflects the will
22 of the people of the state of Colorado and it complies with
23 all the criteria laid out in the state constitution.

24 I will never forget this experience. I thank each
25 of the commissioners for their effort and their attention to

1 this, and I thank you all for showing grace in the early part
2 of this.

3 Thank you, Jerome.

4 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

5 Commissioner Schell.

6 COMMISSIONER SCHELL: Thank you, Jerome.

7 So I echo the statements of my fellow commissioners
8 with respect to the approved map having met all of the
9 constitutional requirements that have guided this Commission
10 from the outset.

11 As noted, the Commission has traveled the state,
12 attending 36 public hearings after the presentation of the
13 preliminary plan and holding four virtual public hearings
14 after the presentation of the first staff plan.

15 As noted also, we've received and reviewed over
16 5,000 public comments. It has been an incredible effort on
17 the part of the citizens of the state. And that included 170
18 maps. And I applaud the collectively and herculean efforts
19 of all of my fellow commissioners in this process.

20 This could not have possibly been accomplished
21 without an ongoing support from members and staff, for which
22 I express my sincere thanks.

23 I applaud the drafters of Amendment Y for including
24 the provision that one of the congressional redistricting
25 commissioners had to (inaudible) west of the Continental

1 Divide, and I am proud to have served as that commissioner.

2 So despite the crush of time resulting from the
3 3 1/2 months' delay in the release of the 2020 census, the
4 Commission has worked diligently to arrive at and approve a
5 congressional redistricting map that reflects a reasonable
6 and reasoned compromise among many competing interests.

7 Colorado provides unique challenging -- challenges
8 to the redistricting process given its large geographic area
9 and it's wildly uneven population distribution. These two
10 factors alone have required us to marry population centers
11 with less sparsely populated areas to achieve that precise
12 mathematical population equality between districts.

13 My wedding favorite Homily cautioned that not every
14 day is your wedding day. There will undoubtedly be some
15 difficult adjustments as each of the districts adjust to
16 their new union; however, I believe the congressional
17 districts as mapped out in the congressional redistrict map
18 approved by this Commission tonight are a good faith effort
19 to reflect how Colorado has changed over the past ten years
20 and how we anticipate it may continue to change as it grows.
21 And this is specifically with respect to Congressional
22 District 8.

23 I want to express my heartfelt thanks to each and
24 everyone of my fellow commissioners for the journey -- excuse
25 me -- for the journey that we have made together -- excuse

1 me -- over these past six and a half months and for the
2 vigorous and sometimes heated debate. Despite the proverbial
3 bumps in the road that we have shared, I would not have
4 missed it. Together we have changed the course of
5 congressional redistricting in Colorado and provided an
6 example for the rest of the country.

7 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner, we appreciate
8 those words.

9 Commissioner Tafoya.

10 COMMISSIONER TAFOYA: Thank you, and thank you,
11 everybody.

12 You know, I obviously was the lone no vote, but it
13 is not a reflection on the work that Commissioner Coleman and
14 all the rest of the commissioners have done to get to this
15 point. Ultimately I know for, first and foremost, having
16 attended some of the meetings that you guys on the maps
17 analytics committee have taken on and, of course, learning as
18 we do through this process is not for the faint of heart and
19 especially, I think, given the challenges that we all face as
20 a Commission to be able to overcome with the cooperation, and
21 I think this truly speaks to how Colorado becomes the envy of
22 the U.S. in terms of how collaborative we can be to be able
23 to work with our Supreme Court in a way that is, of course,
24 legal and appropriate to engage and make sure that we can
25 find the space to make sure that we can accommodate for the

1 challenges that we face as a result of the delay in the
2 census and work in a very tight schedule to achieve what
3 we've -- where we've gotten to today.

4 So obviously, I thank everybody on this Commission
5 and everybody who came to our meetings, everybody who has
6 weighed in, everybody who has followed this process and
7 engaged, but at the end of the day I think -- and I think I
8 don't have to repeat everything that I've spoke to in terms
9 of the challenges that we face as a state and the regions of
10 our state and the disparities that exist in, you know, across
11 Colorado, and I think at the end of the day that is why, you
12 know, I ended up casting a no vote.

13 I didn't vote for Staff Plan 3. I was a no vote
14 then. I attempted to make some adjustments to improve and
15 iterate as a -- as an attempt to come to a yes vote, but
16 ultimately I think that the -- you know, in my heart of
17 hearts I think that there is a -- such a disparity in the
18 southern part of the state and in the communities of interest
19 that we've all seen and heard from that I couldn't in good
20 faith support a map that deviated from that, from protecting
21 those voices in south -- southern Colorado, from Towaoc to
22 Walsh, and I think that is reflected in, you know, what I
23 spoke to in terms of the socioeconomic issues, the
24 agricultural issues, the linguistic issues, the Native
25 American issues and other issues that I've talked to ad

1 nauseam.

2 I know there are some issues that were addressed in
3 terms of keeping Jeffco whole and, you know, I still think
4 that there is some challenges as it relates to, you know,
5 Custer County. I got to a place where I could actually see
6 Fremont County as it relates to perhaps Jeffco, because as
7 any federal prison and a large federal -- federal employee
8 base, but I still think there was a better solution and
9 ultimately that's why I -- I voted no; but that does not mean
10 that or take away from the work that the Commission does and
11 I'm still -- still appreciative of all the work that
12 everybody has given. You know, I -- we had our (inaudible)
13 and we had our kumbaya moments and I think at the end of the
14 day we've all learned a lot through this experiment we call
15 democracy.

16 So I appreciate everybody's effort and want to
17 thank everybody, and despite the fact that I did vote no,
18 doesn't necessarily take away from the work we've done.

19 So thank you very much.

20 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

21 Commissioner Wilkes.

22 COMMISSIONER WILKES: That you can, Jerome.

23 Okay, this whole journey was something that no one
24 anticipates. The delays in the census data seemed like an
25 insurmountable roadblock that we had to overcome. I used the

1 preliminary data from the state demographer that allowed us
2 to start the beginnings of a plan and drawing -- drawing
3 plans and reaching out to the public through our hearings.
4 The data from Mrs. Gardener's office was so accurate that our
5 preliminary plan had only minor alterations when we finally
6 got the 2020 census data.

7 Because we chose this route, we were able to spend
8 a meaningful two months crossing the state to collect
9 testimony from every corner of the state. We thought this
10 opportunity was so important that we went above and beyond
11 the number of hearings required by the Constitution and spent
12 hours listening to what Coloradoans had to say about their
13 community.

14 There are so many links. People connected to
15 people because of heritage, because of lifestyle, because of
16 jobs, and so many other important links that are affected by
17 federal legisla- -- legislation. Tired.

18 What we've heard given by people was a web of
19 connections, links that crossed the whole of our state.
20 These links don't follow municipalities or county lines.
21 People are linked to each other by something much more
22 intangible than where they live. They are linked to each
23 other by human interaction, by connectivity and by love, and
24 you can't possibly grasp that in a simple little boxes or
25 lines.

1 We listened as people in various communities of
2 interest told us about their communities and the connectivity
3 that they felt. And to the best of our ability, we tried too
4 honor as many as of those communities as we could.

5 I personally reviewed over 100 map submissions.
6 Having drawn my own share of maps, I know what a labor of
7 love these maps were from people. Some were done by
8 individuals, some were done by organizations, some by
9 activists, some by everyday concerned Coloradoans. There was
10 so much information beyond lines in these maps and their
11 supporting documentation. It was my honor to review those,
12 and I appreciated viewing all 170 of the perhaps that were
13 submitted to us, at least once.

14 We added another speckle of hearings for -- after
15 the census data finally came out that gave us another insight
16 into how our movements of lines affected individual lives.
17 We had so many people share their truths -- their truths in
18 those four marathon meetings. It showed us how important our
19 work was to the prosperity and success of Coloradoans. This
20 was their home that we were dividing and combining.

21 We know our map could not reflect all communities
22 of interest. We know that a map cannot contain an accurate
23 representation of something that is as intangible as the
24 connectivity that people feel with each other. We know that
25 the hierarchy that we must follow is not something that can

1 answer all needs and requests, but we've worked really hard
2 to listen to as many people as possible and to make something
3 that will work for as many communities as possible.

4 So my thoughts about this plan: The plan respects
5 and keeps together the following communities of interest that
6 we heard from the public. I'll mostly talk about El Paso
7 County because that's where I'm from.

8 Most of the El Paso County comments were to keep it
9 as whole as possible, removing only the population that was
10 necessary. City 5 in this map is entirely in El Paso County,
11 keeping all incorporated municipalities within El Paso County
12 whole, to include Green Mountain Falls, which settles the
13 county line with Teller. This map complies with all
14 guidelines set by the Commission and both of the strong
15 recommendations.

16 Everybody else has already talked about
17 competitiveness, so I don't need to repeat.

18 And not only was this plan not drawn to protect any
19 incumbents, candidates or party members, it was also not
20 drawn to persecute any of those people, and it was not drawn
21 to dilute the electoral influence of voting rights of any
22 language or racial minority group.

23 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

24 Commissioner Brawner.

25 COMMISSIONER BRAUNER: I will keep this brief.

1 Everyone had really fantastic statements that covered such a
2 wide gamut of what a long, strange trip it has been. And as
3 I reflect on this, I think what I really want to highlight is
4 when I look at this final map and the reasons and the
5 iterations and when we got here, I see all of our
6 conversations reflected in this map, and I think that says
7 something about the process, I think that says something
8 about all of us being part of it, but I also see the people
9 of Colorado reflected in this map through their public
10 comments, through their maps, through their testimony at
11 hearings, and I can see it, and I think that's something we
12 can be proud of.

13 Yes, we couldn't keep every community of interest
14 together, but I think we had thoughtful conversations about
15 communities. I think we passionately debated what we
16 believed was really important, and I think that matters a
17 lot.

18 I am super proud of this new congressional district
19 we added to the state. We identified a community of interest
20 there that goes from the community of Commerce City being
21 kept completely whole as they asked, heading up the corridor
22 where there is growth, keeping Fort Lupton, all of Greeley up
23 to the Spanish colonies in this map, as identified in the
24 public comment and the testimony in Greeley. And I think
25 that's really a fantastic thing that we accomplished.

1 Also, we managed to get 11 people to vote yes on a
2 map, and I think that's pretty amazing. I don't know that
3 we've had a lot of things that we've had 11 of us vote yes
4 on, so I think that's something to celebrate too.

5 Again, this map does not violate the Voting Rights
6 Act, this map does not protect any political incumbents or
7 persecute any political elected officials. It also does not
8 dilute any minority groups or minority language groups as
9 required by the Colorado Constitution, but also it reflects
10 the people. The reason that they didn't have computers just
11 draw mathematically exact squares in Colorado is because the
12 people mattered, and I think it took all of us being here
13 from all over the state to bring what we had to make this
14 map.

15 So thank you for working with me on this; I liked
16 working on this with you; I appreciated the process. Thanks.

17 JEROME: Thank you, Commissioner.

18 Chairwoman Hare.

19 CHAIRWOMAN HARE: As I start most things, I want to
20 offer gratitude to everyone for being part of this process
21 together and echo the sentiments for the amount of time,
22 energy and thoughtfulness that the staff provided, not only
23 in supporting us, but in stewarding this process that was
24 also a maiden pilot journey for everyone along that space.

25 I want to offer gratitude to everyone who

1 participated at every level, from public comments, from
2 public hearings, online, in person, the maps that were
3 created as shared were so helpful in so many ways. The
4 background work that each committee did to help us prepare
5 for these conversations and discussions, the time and energy
6 we spent the first two months of just understanding this
7 process, our requirements, building our capacity in context
8 together were really key to allow us to have these more
9 complex conversations as we moved into the space. And I'm
10 just real extremely grateful for that.

11 I am -- I do think that this map that we have now
12 approved as our final map is -- and it has iterated on the
13 comments, the community insight, the connections that we
14 shared. We had to make decisions in every aspect of our
15 constitutional requirements, but I feel that we held to what
16 those requirements were. We were conscientious of that along
17 the entire journey and we integrated that into each step
18 along the way of our process.

19 We had thoughtful dialogue and debate along the way
20 and I only had to use mom whisk like two or three times. And
21 I think that was really helpful in (inaudible) and the candor
22 we were able to bring into the conversation and the space.

23 I do think we have some unique districts that have
24 never had the chance to be in space before and I look forward
25 to what that means for Colorado in the next ten years.

1 I am grateful that we were able to honor all the
2 federal requirements and also our state mandated
3 requirements, and we took those on not just as a mantle that
4 we had to do, but we really embraced that and tried to embed
5 that in what the actual final map looked like. And thank
6 everyone for being part of this iterative process again.

7 I will begin my closing statement as -- I will end
8 my closing statement as I began my opening statement when we
9 came together. (Spoke in a foreign language): I am
10 currently here. I am honored and humbled and grateful to
11 have spent this time with you. It made me stretch into my
12 Pawnee name, which is Kind Leader of Men, and I tried to hold
13 that space. And I am a resident of CD8 and excited for what
14 that means. And I look forward to our -- our iteration and
15 the dinner we will have at some point together when all of
16 this is through.

17 And I look forward and I'm extremely grateful to
18 not only our staff who now will have to play a role, spend
19 the next 72 hours putting together all the documentation for
20 this plan, but also our legal counsel and team that also
21 supported us along the way and will continue to support us
22 through this process.

23 We know that this isn't the last step and we want
24 everyone else to know this isn't the last stage of this
25 process. We submit it to the Supreme Court, we wait to hear

1 what they have to say and we take additional steps from
2 that -- from their response; but I am grateful for you all to
3 be part that of process with us.

4 (Requested portion of audio concluded at 12:32 p.m.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript to the best of my ability to hear and understand the audio recording and based on the quality of the audio recording from the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Dyann Labo

September 30, 2021

Signature of Transcriber

Date

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>a.m 2:2 ability 19:3 26:3 able 15:20,22 18:7 23:22 24:1 above-entitled 26:5 accommodate 15:25 accomplished 13:20 21:25 account 11:3 accurate 18:4 19:22 accused 8:23 achieve 14:11 16:2 Act 6:2 10:25 12:13 22:6 activists 19:9 actual 24:5 ad 16:25 Adams 11:13 added 7:1 19:14 21:19 additional 8:22 25:1 addressed 17:2 addressing 4:23 adheres 5:4 adjust 14:15 adjustments 14:15 16:14 adopted 3:10 adoption 9:13 advocate 9:3 agree 5:9 agricultural 16:24 allow 23:8 allowed 18:1 alongside 9:25 alterations 18:5 alternative 4:22</p>	<p>8:16 amazing 22:2 amendment 3:6 8:1 13:23 amendments 9:13,19 American 16:25 amount 22:21 analytic 6:14 analytics 15:17 answer 20:1 anticipate 14:20 anticipates 17:24 apologize 8:21 9:3 applaud 13:18 13:23 appreciate 2:19 7:7 11:11 15:7 17:16 appreciated 2:16 19:12 22:16 appreciation 11:22 appreciative 17:11 approach 6:3 8:18 approaching 5:19 appropriate 15:24 appropriately 11:6 approve 14:4 approved 13:8 14:18 23:12 Arapahoe 11:13 area 14:8 areas 14:11 arrive 14:4 art 9:11 asked 10:3</p>	<p>21:21 aspect 23:14 attempt 16:15 attempted 16:14 attended 2:20 15:16 attending 10:10 13:12 attention 11:6 12:25 audio 2:2 25:4 26:3,4 AUDIO-REC... 1:7 Aurora 11:10,11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>back 9:12 10:7 background 23:4 bad 7:19 balance 8:8,8 balanced 8:9 balancing 7:6 base 17:8 based 3:22 26:4 basis 3:13 began 2:2 8:14 24:8 beginning 2:22 beginnings 18:2 believe 2:8 4:4 5:3 6:18,22 8:24 12:6,21 14:16 believed 21:16 best 19:3 26:3 better 7:25 17:8 beyond 18:10 19:10 biology 4:14 bipartisan 9:15 blocks 9:24 boundaries 4:1 boundary 11:17</p>	<p>Box 1:15 boxes 18:24 Browner 6:5 12:14 20:24,25 brief 20:25 bring 22:13 23:22 brought 10:19 building 23:7 bumps 15:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 2:1 call 17:14 candidates 4:9 5:10 11:19 20:19 candor 23:21 capability 8:6 capacity 23:7 cast 10:21 casting 16:12 cautioned 14:13 CD6 11:11 CD8 6:19 24:13 celebrate 22:4 census 14:3 16:2 17:24 18:6 19:15 centers 14:10 certainly 6:20 6:23 7:5 CERTIFICA... 26:1 certify 26:2 Chair 2:6 4:17 Chairwoman 22:18,19 challenge 8:10 challenges 14:7 15:19 16:1,9 17:4 challenging 14:7 chance 23:24 change 14:20</p>	<p>changed 14:19 15:4 charged 5:5 10:18 chose 18:7 cities 3:20 citizens 12:5,6 13:17 city 3:11,12,24 4:13 11:11,17 20:10 21:20 class 9:19 clearly 11:4 closing 24:7,8 Coleman 2:5,6 3:6 5:24 15:13 collaborative 15:22 collect 18:8 collectively 10:11 13:18 colonies 21:23 Colorado 1:16 3:5,12 4:14 6:23 9:17,22 11:24 12:10,22 14:7,19 15:5 15:21 16:11,21 21:9 22:9,11 23:25 Coloradoans 18:12 19:9,19 combining 19:20 come 16:15 coming 5:23 9:1 comment 2:12 3:19 4:24 10:3 11:15 21:24 comments 4:18 4:23 6:6,12 10:13 12:15 13:16 20:8 21:10 23:1,13 Commerce</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>21:20 commission 1:8 2:15,17 3:10 6:11 9:11,23 10:18 11:24 13:9,11 14:4 14:18 15:20 16:4 17:10 20:14 Commission's 3:6 commissioner 2:5,6,17 3:1,2 3:3 4:13,15,16 4:17,19 5:14 5:15,16,24 6:5 7:10,11,12,22 8:15 9:6,7,8 12:1,2,3,10,14 13:4,5,6 14:1 15:7,9,10,13 17:20,21,22 20:23,24,25 22:17 commissioners 2:7 5:7 7:14 12:25 13:7,19 13:25 14:24 15:14 commissions 6:25 committee 6:15 15:17 23:4 communities 3:17 4:2,3,25 6:10 10:11,25 12:13,16 16:18 19:1,2,4,21 20:3,5 21:15 community 8:5 12:20 18:13 21:13,19,20 23:13 compact 3:24,25 compactness</p>	<p>11:2 COMPANY 1:15 competing 8:9 14:6 competitive 4:5 5:3 6:20,21 7:3 12:19 competitiveness 6:19 7:1 11:2 12:20 20:17 completely 21:21 complex 23:9 compliance 10:24,24 complies 6:2 12:22 20:13 compromise 4:21 7:5 14:6 computers 22:10 concerned 19:9 concluded 25:4 concur 8:14 confident 11:6 congressional 1:8 13:24 14:5 14:16,17,21 15:5 21:18 connected 18:14 connecting 12:13 connections 18:19 23:13 connectivity 18:23 19:2,24 conscientious 23:16 consequence 8:25 consider 8:14 consideration 3:10 5:6,8 considerations</p>	<p>10:23 Considering 4:3 constitution 6:1 11:4 12:18,23 18:11 22:9 constitutional 5:4 10:23 13:9 23:15 contain 19:22 context 23:7 contiguity 10:25 Continental 13:25 continue 6:23,24 14:20 24:21 conversation 23:22 conversations 2:13 9:14 21:6 21:14 23:5,9 cooperation 15:20 corner 11:10 18:9 correct 26:2 corridor 21:21 counsel 24:20 counties 3:21 6:4 country 15:6 county 3:11,14 3:21 11:13,13 11:13,17 17:5 17:6 18:20 20:7,8,10,11 20:13 couple 11:9 course 3:20 9:4 15:4,17,23 Court 8:20 15:23 24:25 covered 21:1 created 23:3 creates 3:23 criteria 5:4,25</p>	<p>12:23 critical 9:16 crossed 18:19 crossing 18:8 crush 14:2 current 11:11 currently 24:10 Custer 17:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 2:1 data 17:24 18:1 18:4,6 19:15 Date 1:9 26:8 day 14:14,14 16:7,11 17:14 debate 2:15 8:7 9:4 15:2 23:19 debated 21:15 decisions 11:17 23:14 defined 11:4 delay 14:3 16:1 delays 17:24 deliberative 2:13 democracy 17:15 democrats 8:15 12:7 demographer 18:1 Denver 1:16 3:11 despite 14:2 15:2 17:17 deviated 16:20 devoted 12:11 dialogue 23:19 Diawara 3:2,3 4:13,19 7:22 different 8:4,4,5 difficult 11:16 14:15 diligently 14:4</p>	<p>dilute 4:10 5:11 11:20 20:21 22:8 dinner 24:15 direct 11:14 discuss 10:14 discussions 23:5 disparities 16:10 disparity 16:17 distribution 14:9 district 3:14 5:2 6:21,22 14:22 21:18 districts 3:23,24 4:6 6:24 7:1,2 14:12,15,17 23:23 Divide 14:1 dividing 19:20 documentation 19:11 24:19 doing 5:5 9:19 9:22 10:17 Douglas 11:13 drafters 13:23 draw 22:11 drawing 18:2,2 drawn 4:9,10 5:9,10 7:25 11:18,20 19:6 20:18,20,20 Dyann 26:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>E 2:1,1 earlier 6:5 early 8:13 13:1 echo 4:19 7:21 13:7 22:21 ecstatic 9:9 10:20 effort 12:25 13:16 14:18 17:16</p>
--	---	--	--	---

either 5:17	11:23	find 6:19 15:25	grateful 10:18	hearts 16:17
El 3:13,21 20:6	exciting 5:2	first 5:18 7:21	23:10 24:1,10	heated 10:16
20:8,10,11	excuse 14:24,25	13:14 15:15	24:17 25:2	15:2
elected 22:7	exist 16:10	23:6	gratitude 6:14	held 10:14 12:17
election 9:12	experience	five 6:7	7:13 10:1	23:15
electoral 4:10	12:24	folks 2:3	11:22 22:20,25	help 23:4
5:11 11:20	experiences	follow 3:9 18:20	great 7:6	helpful 23:3,21
20:21	10:12	19:25	Greeley 21:22	herculean 13:18
embed 24:4	experiment	followed 16:6	21:24	heritage 18:15
embraced 24:4	17:14	following 20:5	Green 20:12	hide 9:2
employee 17:7	express 6:14	foregoing 26:2	group 11:21	hierarchy 19:25
ended 10:7	13:22 14:23	foreign 24:9	20:22	higher 7:24
16:12	extent 3:12	foremost 15:15	groups 4:12	highlight 21:3
energy 10:19	extremely 23:10	forget 12:24	22:8,8	history 11:24
22:22 23:5	24:17	Fort 21:22	grow 6:23 7:2	hold 24:12
engage 15:24		forward 6:22	grows 14:20	holding 10:8
engaged 2:20	F	23:24 24:14,17	growth 21:22	13:13
16:7	face 15:19 16:1	four 12:6,7,7	guided 13:9	home 19:20
ensure 12:16	16:9	13:13 19:18	guidelines 3:9	Homily 14:13
enthusiastic	fact 6:19 8:11	Fremont 17:6	20:14	honor 9:21 19:4
10:21	11:15 17:17	fully 2:20	guys 15:16	19:11 24:1
entire 2:15 11:9	factors 11:3,7	future 6:25		honored 24:10
23:17	14:10		H	honors 10:23
entirely 20:10	faint 15:18	G	half 15:1	hour 5:20
envy 15:21	faith 8:18 14:18	G 2:1	happen 8:17	hours 7:18
equal 10:24,24	16:20	gamut 5:24 21:2	happening 9:15	18:12 24:19
equality 14:12	Falls 20:12	Gardener's 18:4	hard 7:15 20:1	human 18:23
especially 7:16	fantastic 21:1,25	geographic 14:8	harder 7:15	humbled 24:10
11:3,11 15:19	far 7:22 8:1	gerrymanderi...	Hare 22:18,19	
Espinoza 4:16	fashion 9:15	12:5	heading 21:21	I
4:17	favorite 14:13	getting 6:21	hear 24:25 26:3	idea 9:16
evening 8:14	federal 17:7,7,7	9:23	heard 2:12 3:17	ideas 6:16
10:22	18:17 24:2	given 7:16 11:6	4:23 5:8 6:5,8	identified 21:19
everybody 5:18	feedback 6:9	14:8 15:19	16:19 18:18	21:23
9:1 15:11 16:4	feel 2:14,16 7:19	17:12 18:18	20:6	imagined 9:20
16:5,5,6 17:12	19:24 23:15	go 2:3 8:19	hearing 2:12	impassioned
17:17 20:16	fees 9:12	goes 21:20	10:8	10:16
everybody's 7:7	feet 7:24	going 6:15 9:12	hearings 3:18	importance 8:6
17:16	fellow 13:7,19	good 4:22 6:22	4:24 10:8,9	important 3:14
everyday 19:9	14:24	7:4,23 8:18	12:17 13:12,13	4:8 6:18 9:16
evidence 8:12,17	felt 19:3	12:13 14:18	18:3,11 19:14	18:10,16 19:18
exact 22:11	final 3:6 21:4	16:19	21:11 23:2	21:16
example 15:6	23:12 24:5	gotten 16:3	heart 15:18	improve 16:14
excited 24:13	finally 4:8 18:5	grace 13:1	16:16	inaudible 4:6,7
excitement	19:15	grasp 18:24	heartfelt 14:23	13:25 17:12

23:21	5:1 8:5 11:1	kind 2:14 24:12	linguistic 16:24	6:14,15 8:14
inaugural 9:23	12:14,16,20	knew 9:15	linked 18:21,22	10:14 13:18
include 20:12	16:18 19:2,22	know 2:14,20	links 18:14,16	15:16 19:6,7
included 13:17	20:5 21:13,19	3:15,18,25 4:5	18:19,20	19:10 21:10
including 3:11	interests 3:4,17	4:5 5:2 7:5,21	listen 20:2	23:2
3:21 6:8 13:23	7:7 8:9 14:6	7:24 8:25 12:4	listened 6:6 19:1	marathon 19:18
incorporated	issues 3:11	15:12,15 16:10	listening 18:12	marry 14:10
20:11	16:23,24,24,25	16:12,16,22	little 18:24	mathematical
incredible 2:10	16:25 17:2	17:2,3,4,12	live 10:12 18:22	14:12
13:16	iterate 16:15	19:6,21,22,24	lives 19:16	mathematically
incredibly 2:10	iterated 23:12	22:2 24:23,24	lone 15:12	6:1 22:11
7:14	iteration 24:14	knows 9:1	long 3:4 21:2	matter 26:5
incumbent	iterations 21:5	kumbaya 17:13	look 6:16,25	mattered 22:12
11:19	iterative 5:6		21:4 23:24	matters 21:16
incumbents 4:9	24:6	L	24:14,17	MC 2:8
5:10 20:19	J	Labo 26:7	looked 24:5	mean 11:12 17:9
22:6	Jeffco 17:3,6	labor 19:6	looking 5:22	meaningful 18:8
INDEPENDEN...	Jerome 2:3,7,7	laid 12:23	lot 8:9 17:14	means 8:1 23:25
1:8	3:1,3 4:15 5:14	language 5:12	21:17 22:3	24:14
individual 19:16	5:16 7:10,12	11:21 20:22	love 18:23 19:7	meet 5:25
individuals 6:9	9:6 12:1,3 13:3	22:8 24:9	Lupton 21:22	meetings 15:16
10:9 19:8	13:4,6 15:7	languages 4:11		16:5 19:18
influence 4:11	17:20,22 20:23	large 14:8 17:7	M	members 13:21
5:11 11:20	22:17	lastly 8:21	Macklin 9:7,8	20:19
20:21	job 4:23 7:4,6	lawyer 8:23	maiden 22:24	Men 24:12
information	12:8,13	Leader 24:12	maintain 7:2	mention 4:8
19:10	jobs 18:16	leading 9:13	majority 5:23	merits 11:8
insight 19:15	journey 2:10	learned 8:3	8:13	met 13:8
23:13	12:4 14:24,25	17:14	managed 22:1	methodical
insights 10:12	17:23 22:24	learning 9:23,24	mandated 24:2	10:15
insurmountable	23:17	15:17	mantle 24:3	midnight 5:20
17:25	jurisdictions 8:6	legal 15:24	map 2:14 4:20	miles 10:10
intangible 18:22		24:20	4:22 5:21,22	military 3:13
19:23	K	legisla- 18:17	6:20,22 7:4,23	mindful 11:1
integrated 23:17	keep 4:1 7:2	legislation 18:17	7:25 8:16,20	minor 18:5
intemperance	20:8,25 21:13	legislature 8:1	10:6,23 11:7,8	minority 4:12
8:22	keeping 3:11,12	Leone 7:11,12	13:8 14:5,17	5:12 11:21
intended 8:2	3:13 4:3 8:6	lesson 9:19	16:20 19:5,21	20:22 22:8,8
11:20	17:3 20:11	Let's 2:3	19:22 20:10,13	mirrored 11:15
intent 12:9	21:22	level 7:7,24 23:1	21:4,6,9,23	missed 15:4
intentionality	keeps 3:16,19	lifestyle 18:15	22:2,5,6,14	mom 23:20
11:8	20:5	liked 22:15	23:11,12 24:5	moment 2:8
interaction	Kelly 5:15,16	line 20:13	mapped 14:17	moments 8:22
18:23	kept 11:12 21:21	lines 18:20,25	mapping 2:18	17:13
interest 4:2,4,21	key 8:8 23:8	19:10,16	maps 4:22 6:13	months 6:7 15:1

<p>18:8 23:6 months' 14:3 Moore 12:2,3 Mountain 20:12 Moussa 4:13 moved 23:9 movements 19:16 municipalities 18:20 20:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>N 2:1 name 4:13 24:12 Native 16:24 nauseam 17:1 nearly 10:9 necessarily 17:18 necessary 20:10 need 20:17 needs 20:1 never 12:24 23:24 new 6:21 14:16 21:18 nonpartisan 8:18 north 10:17 noted 13:11,15 number 3:10 18:11 numbers 3:23 numerous 6:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>O 2:1 obviously 15:12 16:4 offended 9:4 offer 22:20,25 office 18:4 officials 22:7 Okay 17:23 once 19:13 ongoing 13:21</p>	<p>online 23:2 opening 24:8 opportunity 7:18 9:17 18:10 opposed 10:4 order 2:3 6:16 11:2 organizations 19:8 outline 7:23 outlined 4:20 outset 13:10 overall 6:11 overcome 15:20 17:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>P 2:1 p.m 25:4 P.O 1:15 part 9:22 13:1 13:17 16:18 21:8 22:20 24:6 25:3 participated 23:1 participation 2:24 parties 4:10 partisan 7:25 8:11,17 parts 10:12 party 5:10 11:19 20:19 Paso 3:13,21 20:6,8,10,11 passion 8:22 passionate 2:18 8:24 passionately 21:15 PATTERSON 1:15 Pawnee 24:12</p>	<p>people 2:20 3:5 8:3 12:22 18:14,15,18,21 19:1,7,17,24 20:2,20 21:8 22:1,10,12 people's 10:12 perfect 12:21 persecute 20:20 22:7 person 23:2 personally 3:15 19:5 pilot 22:24 place 17:5 places 11:12,16 plan 3:6,6,8,16 3:19,23 4:5,8 5:9 10:21 11:18 12:12,19 12:20,21 13:13 13:14 16:13 18:2,5 20:4,4 20:18 24:20 plans 9:19 18:3 play 24:18 point 8:15 9:9 10:20 11:10 15:15 24:15 political 3:19 4:4 6:4 11:1 12:5 22:6,7 populated 14:11 population 10:24 14:9,10 14:12 20:9 portion 2:2 25:4 possible 2:21 3:12,20 7:3 20:2,3,9 possibly 13:20 18:24 potentially 3:25 11:17 precedent 6:25</p>	<p>precise 6:1 14:11 preliminary 13:13 18:1,5 prepare 23:4 prepared 2:4,9 4:18 5:17 presentation 13:12,14 preserving 10:25 pretty 22:2 prior 9:14 prison 17:7 probably 9:14 problem 8:18 process 2:14 3:4 3:22 5:6 7:16 8:3,11,17 10:5 11:23 13:19 14:8 15:18 16:6 21:7 22:16,20,23 23:7,18 24:6 24:22,25 25:3 productive 8:7 professional 10:15 professor 4:14 projects 10:2 prosperity 19:19 protect 4:9 5:9 11:19 20:18 22:6 protecting 16:20 proud 14:1 21:12,18 proverbial 15:2 provided 1:14 6:5,9 15:5 22:22 provides 4:5 14:7 provision 13:24 public 2:12,24</p>	<p>3:17,18,18 4:7 4:24 5:8,19 10:13 11:14 12:17 13:12,13 13:16 18:3 20:6 21:9,24 23:1,2 purposefulness 12:9 put 2:13 9:2 putting 24:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>quality 26:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>R 2:1 racial 4:11 5:12 11:21 20:22 ran 6:7 range 3:16 reach 8:13 reached 9:9 reaching 18:3 reading 3:18 real 4:18 9:17 23:10 really 6:17 8:10 9:12,14,21 20:1 21:1,3,16 21:25 23:8,21 24:4 reason 22:10 reasonable 4:1 6:3 11:14 14:5 reasonably 3:24 reasoned 14:6 reasons 3:7 21:4 received 13:15 recommenda... 3:9 20:15 record 2:4 recording 26:3,4 redirecting 3:22 redistrict 14:17 redistricting 1:8</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>13:24 14:5,8 15:5 redrawn 3:25 reflect 9:11 14:19 19:21 21:3 reflected 4:6 11:7 16:22 21:6,9 reflection 15:13 reflects 12:21 14:5 22:9 regarding 4:25 regions 16:9 reiterate 10:1 11:18 relates 17:4,6 release 14:3 remember 9:18 10:3 removing 20:9 repeat 16:8 20:17 report 6:6 representation 19:23 represented 9:16 represents 5:5 republicans 8:16 12:7 Requested 2:2 25:4 requests 4:25 20:1 required 12:17 14:10 18:11 22:9 requirement 4:3 requirements 13:9 23:7,15 23:16 24:2,3 researching 10:2 resident 24:13</p>	<p>respect 13:8 14:21 respects 3:16 20:4 response 11:14 25:2 responsibly 8:10 responsive 4:25 rest 15:6,14 result 16:1 resulted 8:8 resulting 14:2 review 19:11 reviewed 12:15 13:15 19:5 reviewing 10:13 reviews 8:20 right 12:10,12 rights 4:11 5:11 6:2 10:25 11:21 20:21 22:5 road 15:3 roadblock 17:25 role 24:18 rounds 8:12 route 18:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>S 2:1 s/ 26:7 sacrifice 12:20 saw 3:24 says 21:6,7 schedule 16:2 Schell 13:5,6 score 5:3 second 5:22 see 9:9 10:11 11:8 17:5 21:5 21:8,11 seeing 3:23 seen 7:15 16:19 sense 2:16 10:5 10:7</p>	<p>sentiments 7:13 22:21 September 1:9 1:10 26:7 seriously 6:12 served 9:22 14:1 set 6:24 20:14 settles 20:12 share 7:13 19:6 19:17 shared 15:3 23:3 23:14 Shepherd 9:7,8 shortened 7:17 showed 19:18 showing 13:1 shown 12:8 side 2:19 sides 2:16 Signature 26:8 simple 18:24 simply 8:25 sincere 13:22 single 3:14 sitting 9:21 six 15:1 small 5:24 socioeconomic 16:23 solution 17:8 south 16:21 southern 16:18 16:21 space 10:14 15:25 22:24 23:9,22,24 24:13 Spanish 21:23 sparsely 14:11 speaks 15:21 specific 11:19 specifically 14:21 speckle 19:14 spend 18:7</p>	<p>24:18 spent 6:16 18:11 23:6 24:11 split 3:20,22 6:5 11:14,17 splits 11:12 spoke 16:8,23 24:9 spoken 2:17 6:13 Springs 3:13 squares 22:11 staff 2:21,23 3:5 5:22 7:14,14 7:15,20 13:14 13:21 16:13 22:22 24:18 stage 24:24 star 10:17 start 5:22 18:2 22:19 started 2:11 5:23 state 4:14 5:7 6:7 10:13 11:9 11:10 12:6,22 12:23 13:11,17 16:9,10,18 18:1,8,9,19 21:19 22:13 24:2 statement 2:4,9 10:4 24:7,8,8 statements 13:7 21:1 statewide 7:7 stayed 2:20 step 6:22 23:17 24:23 steps 25:1 stewarding 22:23 sticking 5:19 strange 21:2 stretch 24:11</p>	<p>strong 20:14 student 10:2,3,3 subdivisions 3:19 4:4 6:4 11:1 submissions 19:5 submit 24:25 submitted 6:13 19:13 success 9:10 19:19 super 8:13 21:18 support 10:21 13:21 16:20 24:21 supported 24:21 supporting 8:16 19:11 22:23 Supreme 8:20 15:23 24:25 sure 2:7 15:24 15:25 synthesis 9:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>table 9:2 Tafoya 15:9,10 take 8:4 11:3 17:10,18 25:1 taken 15:17 takes 6:3 talk 20:6 talked 12:15 16:25 20:16 talking 9:18 tall 11:2 team 24:20 Teller 20:13 ten 14:19 23:25 terms 5:21 6:4 15:22 16:8,23 17:3 testimony 2:12 6:8 18:9 21:10</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>21:24 text 23:25 thank 2:6,8,21 2:22,25 3:1,3 4:15,17 5:13 5:14,18 7:9,10 7:12,18,19 9:5 9:6,8 11:25 12:1,3,24 13:1 13:3,4,6 15:7 15:10,10 16:4 17:17,19,20 20:23 22:15,17 24:5 thankful 8:19 thanks 5:16 13:22 14:23 22:16 thing 21:25 things 8:4,24 11:9 22:3,19 think 4:20,24 5:5,21,24 6:3 6:11,20,24 7:4 7:6,15,21,24 8:3,7,8,10,12 8:19,25 9:18 9:20 10:6,7,16 11:15 12:8,10 12:13 15:19,21 16:7,7,11,16 16:17,22 17:3 17:8,13 21:3,6 21:7,11,14,15 21:16,24 22:2 22:4,12 23:11 23:21,23 thought 9:24 18:9 thoughtful 10:15 21:14 23:19 thoughtfulness 22:22 thoughts 20:4</p>	<p>thousand 10:9 thousands 10:10 10:13 thread 12:14 three 23:20 tight 16:2 time 6:16 9:2,20 10:14 14:2 22:21 23:5 24:11 timeframe 7:17 times 23:20 Tired 18:17 today 6:5 10:20 16:3 told 19:2 tonight 7:19 8:13 9:21 10:7 12:8 14:18 Towaoc 16:21 TRANSCRIB... 1:10 Transcriber 26:8 TRANSCRIB... 26:1 transcript 1:14 26:2 TRANSCRIP... 1:7,15 traveled 13:11 traveling 10:10 trial 8:23 tried 19:3 24:4 24:12 trip 21:2 true 9:10,10 truly 9:25 15:21 truths 19:17,17 try 6:18 7:1 twice 12:17 two 8:15,16 14:9 18:8 23:6,20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p>	<p>U.S 15:22 ultimately 15:15 16:16 17:9 unable 8:13 unaffiliated 12:7 understand 10:11 26:3 understanding 23:6 understood 12:16 undoubtedly 14:14 uneven 14:9 union 14:16 unique 9:17 14:7 23:23 University 4:14 unnoticed 8:19 uphold 3:5 use 10:20 23:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>various 19:1 vet 6:17 viewing 19:12 viewpoint 8:5,5 views 8:4 vigorous 15:2 violate 12:12 22:5 virtual 13:13 voices 16:21 vote 10:22 15:12 16:12,13,13,15 17:17 22:1,3 voted 3:7 4:20 5:21 7:23 12:5 17:9 voting 4:11 5:11 6:2 8:12 10:25 11:20 12:12 20:21 22:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p>	<p>wait 24:25 Walsh 16:22 want 2:9,19 5:18 10:1 11:9 14:23 17:16 21:3 22:19,25 24:23 wanted 7:5 way 8:9,25 9:12 10:15 15:23 23:18,19 24:21 ways 23:3 We're 5:19 we've 2:13,18 5:5 6:6 10:6,8 10:14,15 11:5 12:9,13,15 13:15 16:3,3 16:19 17:14,18 18:18 20:1 22:3,3 web 18:18 wedding 14:13 14:14 weeds 9:23 weighed 16:6 went 18:10 west 13:25 whisk 23:20 wide 3:16 21:2 wildly 14:9 Wilkes 8:15 17:21,22 willing 3:5 win 9:10 wonderful 6:25 word 8:8 10:20 words 15:8 work 7:8,15,18 9:16,22 10:8 10:14,17,19 11:5,7,23 15:13,23 16:2 17:10,11,18 19:19 20:3</p>	<p>23:4 worked 7:14 14:4 20:1 working 22:15 22:16 Wow 12:4 written 4:24 6:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>Y 9:13 13:23 Yeah 3:4 5:17 years 8:23 14:19 23:25 you's 2:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <p>Z 9:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>1 3:24 5:3 1.3 5:3 1/2 14:3 100 19:5 11 22:1,3 11:55 2:2 12 12:6 12:32 25:4 170 13:17 19:12 1965 6:2 12:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>200 10:13 2018 12:5 2020 14:3 18:6 2021 1:9,10 26:7 28 1:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 3:6 14:3 16:13 30 1:10 26:7 303 1:16 36 13:12</p>
--	---	--	--	--

378037 1:15				
<hr/> 4 <hr/>				
44 10:8				
<hr/> 5 <hr/>				
5 4:14 20:10				
5,000 12:15				
13:16				
5,188 6:6				
50,000 7:24				
<hr/> 6 <hr/>				
6 3:24				
<hr/> 7 <hr/>				
72 24:19				
755-4536 1:16				
<hr/> 8 <hr/>				
8 5:2 14:22				
80231 1:16				

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit E

**Population Summary Report
2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan**



Population Summary Report
Plan: 2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan

District	Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	Non-Hispanic						
							Non-Hispanic white	Non-Hispanic black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Non-Hispanic Asian	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Non-Hispanic some other race	Non-Hispanic two or more minority race
1	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	200,616 27.8%	521,098 72.2%	392,965 54.4%	67,478 9.3%	9,289 1.3%	35,543 4.9%	1,769 0.2%	9,147 1.3%	4,907 0.7%
2	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	102,700 14.2%	619,014 85.8%	549,129 76.1%	10,283 1.4%	10,445 1.4%	34,145 4.7%	1067 0.1%	11,776 1.6%	2,169 0.3%
3	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	185,794 25.7%	535,920 74.3%	481,566 66.7%	8,774 1.2%	22,011 3.0%	9,141 1.3%	996 0.1%	11,369 1.6%	2,063 0.3%
4	721,715	721,714	1	0.0%	98,443 13.6%	623,272 86.4%	546,774 75.8%	16,541 2.3%	12,630 1.7%	33,096 4.6%	1,170 0.2%	10,283 1.4%	2,778 0.4%
5	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	129,138 17.9%	592,576 82.1%	473,462 65.6%	50,531 7.0%	15,055 2.1%	31,797 4.4%	3,776 0.5%	10,964 1.5%	6,991 1.0%
6	721,715	721,714	1	0.0%	159,407 22.1%	562,308 77.9%	402,961 55.8%	80,407 11.1%	9,802 1.4%	51,398 7.1%	2,328 0.3%	9,805 1.4%	5,607 0.8%
7	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	109,185 15.1%	612,529 84.9%	540,492 74.9%	13,088 1.8%	14,336 2.0%	29,421 4.1%	1,074 0.1%	11,913 1.7%	2,205 0.3%
8	721,714	721,714	0	0.0%	278,107 38.5%	443,607 61.5%	373,314 51.7%	16,519 2.3%	12,058 1.7%	29,288 4.1%	1,181 0.2%	8,725 1.2%	2,522 0.3%

Source: Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit F

Report Regarding Assigned District County Splits



Assigned District Splits County

* indicates split

	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	Non-Hispanic white	Non-Hispanic black	Non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan Native	Non-Hispanic Asian	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Non-Hispanic some other race	Non-Hispanic two or more minority race
District 1										
* Arapahoe County	7,296	1,345	5,951	4,954	394	119	363	6	70	45
* Denver County	714,418	199,271	515,147	388,011	67,084	9,170	35,180	1,763	9,077	4,862
* Jefferson County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 1 Total	721,714	200,616	521,098	392,965	67,478	9,289	35,543	1,769	9,147	4,907
	100%	27.80%	72.20%	54.45%	9.35%	1.29%	4.92%	0.25%	1.27%	0.68%
District 2										
* Boulder County	330,741	48,165	282,576	245,199	4,884	4,325	21,039	441	5,702	986
* Broomfield County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clear Creek County	9,397	648	8,749	8,149	75	199	144	7	143	32
* Eagle County	45,323	13,153	32,170	29,987	366	391	852	34	464	76
Gilpin County	5,808	380	5,428	4,952	49	156	115	7	106	43
Grand County	15,717	1,533	14,184	13,435	82	224	149	31	237	26
Jackson County	1,379	138	1,241	1,161	2	34	3	2	28	11
* Jefferson County	1,853	90	1,763	1,620	19	33	37	2	49	3
* Larimer County	234,599	28,594	206,005	183,274	4,006	4,101	9,655	392	3,779	798
Routt County	24,829	2,200	22,629	21,242	218	339	327	66	394	43
Summit County	31,055	5,335	25,720	23,782	338	351	648	33	469	99
* Weld County	21,013	2,464	18,549	16,328	244	292	1,176	52	405	52
District 2 Total	721,714	102,700	619,014	549,129	10,283	10,445	34,145	1,067	11,776	2,169
	100%	14.23%	85.77%	76.09%	1.42%	1.45%	4.73%	0.15%	1.63%	0.30%
District 3										
Alamosa County	16,376	7,701	8,675	7,490	268	418	187	21	249	42
Archuleta County	13,359	2,159	11,200	10,175	79	469	164	6	231	76
Conejos County	7,461	3,779	3,682	3,465	24	99	21	2	42	29
Costilla County	3,499	1,988	1,511	1,234	51	88	72	2	47	17
Delta County	31,196	4,329	26,867	24,907	212	717	337	18	621	55
Dolores County	2,326	176	2,150	1,950	20	109	9	5	46	11
* Eagle County	10,408	3,705	6,703	6,215	44	108	158	18	139	21
Garfield County	61,685	19,564	42,121	38,636	464	1,204	663	71	955	128
Gunnison County	16,918	1,604	15,314	14,254	119	326	208	9	341	57
Hinsdale County	788	30	758	693	9	14	8	1	15	18
Huerfano County	6,820	2,130	4,690	4,231	69	211	48	0	111	20
La Plata County	55,638	7,008	48,630	42,392	354	3,971	678	61	1,009	165
Las Animas County	14,555	5,632	8,923	7,965	215	319	149	21	206	48
Mesa County	155,703	23,278	132,425	120,749	1,689	3,856	2,541	332	2,852	406
Mineral County	865	47	818	775	3	20	3	3	6	8
Moffat County	13,292	2,124	11,168	10,313	147	371	100	4	199	34
Montezuma County	25,849	3,109	22,740	18,035	177	3,830	216	43	372	67
Montrose County	42,679	9,027	33,652	31,126	277	1,005	491	57	601	95
Otero County	18,690	7,702	10,988	10,013	194	350	144	31	205	51
Ouray County	4,874	292	4,582	4,308	26	93	46	2	96	11
Pitkin County	17,358	1,892	15,466	14,433	170	136	421	15	257	34
Pueblo County	168,162	69,921	98,241	85,527	3,967	3,449	2,211	246	2,300	541
Rio Blanco County	6,529	622	5,907	5,509	39	188	39	3	99	30
Rio Grande County	11,539	4,609	6,930	6,261	87	296	61	13	165	47
Saguache County	6,368	2,394	3,974	3,578	24	189	75	8	73	27
San Juan County	705	90	615	575	1	19	2	0	8	10
San Miguel County	8,072	882	7,190	6,757	45	156	89	4	124	15
District 3 Total	721,714	185,794	535,920	481,566	8,774	22,011	9,141	996	11,369	2,063
	100%	25.74%	74.26%	66.73%	1.22%	3.05%	1.27%	0.14%	1.58%	0.29%
District 4										
* Adams County	12,059	2,335	9,724	8,840	190	285	158	34	169	48
* Arapahoe County	37,812	7,110	30,702	21,833	3,685	520	3,727	83	478	376
Baca County	3,506	346	3,160	2,916	28	148	8	0	49	11
Bent County	5,650	1,760	3,890	3,315	275	195	47	0	37	21
Cheyenne County	1,748	206	1,542	1,470	14	28	4	0	15	11
Crowley County	5,922	1,608	4,314	3,438	520	220	79	2	33	22
* Douglas County	355,113	33,887	321,226	276,470	7,138	5,006	25,141	659	5,349	1,463
Elbert County	26,062	2,067	23,995	22,185	249	590	399	40	460	72
* El Paso County	8,059	815	7,244	6,477	124	315	99	27	148	54
Kiowa County	1,446	104	1,342	1,247	12	32	10	1	17	23
Kit Carson County	7,087	1,409	5,678	5,306	43	156	46	5	97	25
* Larimer County	107,329	14,050	93,279	85,426	1,368	2,303	1,870	154	1,816	342

Lincoln County	5,675	809	4,866	4,252	285	174	51	26	62	16
Logan County	21,528	3,511	18,017	16,337	818	406	185	24	198	49
Morgan County	29,111	10,566	18,545	16,546	1,020	468	225	11	233	42
Phillips County	4,530	1,155	3,375	3,246	17	57	25	1	10	19
Prowers County	11,999	4,680	7,319	6,686	122	340	37	8	115	11
Sedgwick County	2,404	363	2,041	1,934	8	39	22	0	23	15
Washington County	4,817	516	4,301	4,057	37	98	19	10	60	20
* Weld County	59,870	8,375	51,495	47,845	549	1,158	911	82	829	121
Yuma County	9,988	2,771	7,217	6,948	39	92	33	3	85	17
<hr/>										
District 4 Total	721,715	98,443	623,272	546,774	16,541	12,630	33,096	1,170	10,283	2,778
	100%	13.64%	86.36%	75.76%	2.29%	1.75%	4.59%	0.16%	1.42%	0.38%
District 5										
* El Paso County	721,714	129,138	592,576	473,462	50,531	15,055	31,797	3,776	10,964	6,991
<hr/>										
District 5 Total	721,714	129,138	592,576	473,462	50,531	15,055	31,797	3,776	10,964	6,991
	100%	17.89%	82.11%	65.60%	7%	2.09%	4.41%	0.52%	1.52%	0.97%
District 6										
* Adams County	48,143	24,943	23,200	11,129	7,434	454	3,112	251	446	374
* Arapahoe County	609,962	127,274	482,688	341,260	72,002	8,274	45,841	2,010	8,247	5,054
* Denver County	1,104	189	915	753	71	5	61	3	17	5
* Douglas County	2,865	223	2,642	2,300	79	42	176	1	35	9
* Jefferson County	59,641	6,778	52,863	47,519	821	1,027	2,208	63	1,060	165
<hr/>										
District 6 Total	721,715	159,407	562,308	402,961	80,407	9,802	51,398	2,328	9,805	5,607
	100%	22.09%	77.91%	55.83%	11.14%	1.36%	7.12%	0.32%	1.36%	0.78%
District 7										
* Adams County	2,892	905	1,987	1,601	38	60	243	0	35	10
* Boulder County	17	3	14	4	2	2	5	0	0	1
* Broomfield County	74,112	9,919	64,193	53,904	1,351	1,062	6,292	133	1,153	298
Chaffee County	19,476	1,841	17,635	16,195	346	439	240	21	350	44
Custer County	4,704	178	4,526	4,212	34	127	25	6	101	21
* El Paso County	622	31	591	545	8	21	11	1	4	1
Fremont County	48,939	6,049	42,890	37,713	1,982	1,652	437	60	957	89
* Jefferson County	521,416	84,646	436,770	386,106	8,881	9,616	21,522	799	8,246	1,600
Lake County	7,436	2,662	4,774	4,293	47	180	98	12	120	24
Park County	17,390	1,235	16,155	14,902	147	475	204	13	362	52
Teller County	24,710	1,716	22,994	21,017	252	702	344	29	585	65
* Weld County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<hr/>										
District 7 Total	721,714	109,185	612,529	540,492	13,088	14,336	29,421	1,074	11,913	2,205
	100%	15.13%	84.87%	74.89%	1.81%	1.99%	4.08%	0.15%	1.65%	0.31%
District 8										
* Adams County	456,478	188,466	268,012	217,725	11,532	7,570	23,139	789	5,455	1,802
* Larimer County	17,138	2,018	15,120	13,881	162	345	382	13	295	42
* Weld County	248,098	87,623	160,475	141,708	4,825	4,143	5,767	379	2,975	678
<hr/>										
District 8 Total	721,714	278,107	443,607	373,314	16,519	12,058	29,288	1,181	8,725	2,522
	100%	38.53%	61.47%	51.73%	2.29%	1.67%	4.06%	0.16%	1.21%	0.35%

Source: Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit G

Report Regarding Assigned District City Splits



Assigned District SplitsCity

* indicates split

	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	Non-Hispanic white	Non-Hispanic black	Non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan Native	Non-Hispanic Asian	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Non-Hispanic some other race	Non-Hispanic two or more minority race
District 1										
* Arapahoe County										
Glendale	4,613	1,124	3,489	2,790	317	75	223	6	46	32
Holly Hills	2,683	221	2,462	2,164	77	44	140	0	24	13
* Arapahoe County	7,296	1,345	5,951	4,954	394	119	363	6	70	45
* Denver County										
* Denver	714,418	199,271	515,147	388,011	67,084	9,170	35,180	1,763	9,077	4,862
* Denver County	714,418	199,271	515,147	388,011	67,084	9,170	35,180	1,763	9,077	4,862
* Jefferson County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 1 Total	721,714	200,616	521,098	392,965	67,478	9,289	35,543	1,769	9,147	4,907
	100%	27.80%	72.20%	54.45%	9.35%	1.29%	4.92%	0.25%	1.27%	0.68%
District 2										
* Boulder County										
Unincorporated	21,981	1,658	20,323	18,605	177	313	618	29	518	63
Allenspark	568	18	550	510	0	16	9	0	11	4
Altona	512	19	493	460	1	1	28	0	3	0
Bark Ranch	202	5	197	177	1	4	2	1	9	3
Bonanza Mountain Estates	127	8	119	108	1	3	2	0	5	0
Boulder	108,250	11,440	96,810	82,700	1,843	1,112	8,869	191	1,793	302
Coal Creek	665	40	625	588	2	9	7	0	17	2
Crisman	179	9	170	146	4	6	7	1	6	0
Eldora	140	6	134	132	0	1	1	0	1	0
Eldorado Springs	559	47	512	460	7	12	16	0	14	3
* Erie	12,651	1,174	11,477	9,818	184	139	1,095	4	214	23
Glendale	64	3	61	55	2	0	0	0	2	2
Gold Hill	218	7	211	184	2	6	4	0	11	4
Gunbarrel	9,554	584	8,970	8,009	141	104	450	11	218	37
Hidden Lake	24	2	22	16	0	2	0	0	4	0
Jamestown	256	5	251	239	4	6	0	0	2	0
Lafayette	30,411	5,614	24,797	21,574	510	394	1,733	27	471	88
Lazy Acres	957	32	925	866	14	4	17	0	21	3
Leyner	40	4	36	31	2	0	2	0	1	0
Longmont	97,587	24,143	73,444	64,047	1,404	1,624	4,413	125	1,501	330
Louisville	21,226	1,737	19,489	17,232	261	246	1,295	23	389	43
Lyons	2,209	117	2,092	1,966	10	27	44	1	39	5
Mountain Meadows	237	13	224	205	0	9	3	0	5	2
Nederland	1,471	59	1,412	1,294	9	31	37	3	35	3
Niwot	4,306	219	4,087	3,655	50	48	227	7	95	5
Paragon Estates	975	60	915	838	12	15	33	3	10	4
Pine Brook Hill	975	44	931	854	5	10	38	0	21	3
Seven Hills	129	2	127	119	0	0	3	0	3	2
St. Ann Highlands	325	10	315	294	0	9	4	0	8	0
Sugarloaf	274	9	265	255	2	0	5	0	2	1
Sunshine	198	2	196	184	0	3	6	0	3	0
* Superior	13,094	1,066	12,028	9,248	236	161	2,063	14	256	50
Tall Timber	185	6	179	162	0	5	3	1	5	3
Valmont	64	3	61	57	0	0	2	0	2	0
Ward	128	0	128	111	0	6	3	0	7	1
* Boulder County	330,741	48,165	282,576	245,199	4,884	4,325	21,039	441	5,702	986
* Broomfield County										
* Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Broomfield County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clear Creek County										
Unincorporated	1,536	89	1,447	1,358	9	31	15	0	26	8
Blue Valley	173	6	167	161	0	2	4	0	0	0
* Brook Forest	288	12	276	265	0	4	1	0	6	0
Central City	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Downieville-Lawson-										
Dumont	527	61	466	431	7	12	6	0	10	0
Echo Hills	313	13	300	273	1	5	7	0	10	4
Empire	345	34	311	278	3	21	2	0	6	1
Floyd Hill	1,048	65	983	895	7	30	30	0	15	6
Georgetown	1,118	121	997	922	12	21	18	6	14	4
Idaho Springs	1,782	125	1,657	1,540	24	38	27	0	20	8
Pine Valley	363	8	355	335	0	3	13	0	4	0
Silver Plume	207	15	192	171	5	8	4	1	3	0
St. Mary's	333	23	310	288	4	6	5	0	7	0

Upper Bear Creek	984	50	934	897	2	12	4	0	18	1
Upper Witter Gulch	380	26	354	335	1	6	8	0	4	0
Clear Creek County	9,397	648	8,749	8,149	75	199	144	7	143	32
* Eagle County										
Unincorporated	6,314	854	5,460	5,101	74	71	130	7	65	12
Avon	6,072	2,365	3,707	3,335	73	34	187	5	69	4
Eagle	7,511	1,437	6,074	5,792	49	63	86	3	64	17
Edwards	11,246	3,771	7,475	6,988	82	63	202	11	113	16
Fulford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Gypsum	8,005	3,931	4,074	3,740	36	87	114	5	79	13
McCoy	30	4	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minturn	1,033	224	809	777	4	9	7	1	9	2
Red Cliff	257	65	192	176	0	13	0	0	1	2
Vail	4,835	500	4,335	4,035	47	51	126	2	64	10
Wolcott	20	2	18	17	1	0	0	0	0	0
* Eagle County	45,323	13,153	32,170	29,987	366	391	852	34	464	76
Gilpin County										
Unincorporated	4,416	257	4,159	3,847	28	107	50	6	86	35
Black Hawk	127	20	107	93	3	2	3	0	5	1
Central City	779	74	705	587	16	38	51	1	10	2
Coal Creek	292	14	278	262	0	5	7	0	1	3
Rollinsville	194	15	179	163	2	4	4	0	4	2
Gilpin County	5,808	380	5,428	4,952	49	156	115	7	106	43
Grand County										
Unincorporated	8,156	587	7,569	7,197	28	110	56	14	155	9
Fraser	1,400	135	1,265	1,191	8	12	25	7	21	1
Granby	2,079	374	1,705	1,604	19	30	13	2	32	5
Grand Lake	410	33	377	357	2	13	4	0	0	1
Hot Sulphur Springs	687	54	633	586	4	23	14	0	6	0
Kremmling	1,509	280	1,229	1,169	9	27	9	2	10	3
Parshall	42	8	34	27	0	2	0	1	4	0
Tabernash	401	15	386	371	1	2	5	1	6	0
Winter Park	1,033	47	986	933	11	5	23	4	3	7
Grand County	15,717	1,533	14,184	13,435	82	224	149	31	237	26
Jackson County										
Unincorporated	773	33	740	695	1	12	1	2	25	4
Walden	606	105	501	466	1	22	2	0	3	7
Jackson County	1,379	138	1,241	1,161	2	34	3	2	28	11
* Jefferson County										
Unincorporated	316	13	303	286	2	4	9	0	2	0
* Arvada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coal Creek	1,537	77	1,460	1,334	17	29	28	2	47	3
* Jefferson County	1,853	90	1,763	1,620	19	33	37	2	49	3
* Larimer County										
Unincorporated	49,561	5,886	43,675	40,348	388	999	849	65	928	98
Estes Park	5,904	906	4,998	4,677	43	90	124	6	48	10
* Fort Collins	169,810	21,003	148,807	130,620	3,493	2,879	8,230	303	2,630	652
Laporte	2,409	244	2,165	1,974	16	75	39	5	46	10
* Loveland	7	1	6	0	0	1	0	0	5	0
Red Feather Lakes	426	17	409	386	6	8	0	0	7	2
Timnath	6,482	537	5,945	5,269	60	49	413	13	115	26
* Larimer County	234,599	28,594	206,005	183,274	4,006	4,101	9,655	392	3,779	798
Routt County										
Unincorporated	8,142	411	7,731	7,377	36	123	66	16	104	9
Hayden	1,941	211	1,730	1,603	17	38	22	11	30	9
Oak Creek	889	79	810	750	3	20	7	1	26	3
Phippsburg	234	18	216	206	0	3	0	0	7	0
Steamboat Springs	13,224	1,464	11,760	10,935	161	148	230	38	227	21
Yampa	399	17	382	371	1	7	2	0	0	1
Routt County	24,829	2,200	22,629	21,242	218	339	327	66	394	43
Summit County										
Unincorporated	14,554	2,848	11,706	10,884	141	159	236	12	226	48
Blue River	877	36	841	788	6	9	11	1	19	7
Breckenridge	5,078	555	4,523	4,172	51	60	143	4	75	18
Copper Mountain	650	72	578	535	9	8	5	4	14	3
Dillon	1,064	183	881	812	23	9	12	4	20	1
Frisco	2,913	190	2,723	2,547	9	34	79	0	43	11
Heeney	74	5	69	68	0	0	0	0	1	0
Keystone	1,369	179	1,190	1,085	10	11	56	6	21	1
Montezuma	74	4	70	54	0	5	5	0	6	0
Silverthorne	4,402	1,263	3,139	2,837	89	56	101	2	44	10
Summit County	31,055	5,335	25,720	23,782	338	351	648	33	469	99
* Weld County										
Unincorporated	2,323	254	2,069	1,857	19	35	81	6	66	5
Erie	17,387	1,894	15,493	13,600	202	231	1,058	34	325	43
Longmont	1,298	314	984	869	23	26	37	11	14	4
Timnath	5	2	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0

* Weld County	21,013	2,464	18,549	16,328	244	292	1,176	52	405	52
District 2 Total	721,714 100%	102,700 14.23%	619,014 85.77%	549,129 76.09%	10,283 1.42%	10,445 1.45%	34,145 4.73%	1,067 0.15%	11,776 1.63%	2,169 0.30%
District 3										
Alamosa County										
Unincorporated	5,036	1,701	3,335	2,988	22	156	23	3	130	13
Alamosa	9,806	5,216	4,590	3,834	231	238	147	18	96	26
Alamosa East	1,453	762	691	615	15	20	16	0	22	3
Hooper	81	22	59	53	0	4	1	0	1	0
Alamosa County	16,376	7,701	8,675	7,490	268	418	187	21	249	42
Archuleta County										
Unincorporated	11,480	1,560	9,920	9,076	66	384	136	6	194	58
Arboles	308	76	232	205	0	19	2	0	3	3
Pagosa Springs	1,571	523	1,048	894	13	66	26	0	34	15
Archuleta County	13,359	2,159	11,200	10,175	79	469	164	6	231	76
Conejos County										
Unincorporated	3,776	1,645	2,131	2,004	12	71	6	2	23	13
Antonito	647	563	84	79	2	0	1	0	0	2
Capulin	134	115	19	18	1	0	0	0	0	0
Conejos	46	32	14	12	0	1	0	0	0	1
La Jara	730	452	278	243	0	12	9	0	6	8
Manassa	947	456	491	475	6	5	2	0	2	1
Romeo	302	219	83	68	2	5	1	0	5	2
Sanford	879	297	582	566	1	5	2	0	6	2
Conejos County	7,461	3,779	3,682	3,465	24	99	21	2	42	29
Costilla County										
Unincorporated	2,059	899	1,160	982	22	69	45	1	28	13
Blanca	322	228	94	70	6	2	13	0	2	1
Fort Garland	464	330	134	93	15	8	4	1	11	2
San Acacio	56	36	20	15	0	2	0	0	3	0
San Luis	598	495	103	74	8	7	10	0	3	1
Costilla County	3,499	1,988	1,511	1,234	51	88	72	2	47	17
Delta County										
Unincorporated	13,847	1,389	12,458	11,619	101	322	89	7	295	25
Cedaredge	2,279	180	2,099	1,975	11	53	15	4	38	3
Crawford	403	28	375	351	4	12	1	0	6	1
Delta	9,035	2,182	6,853	6,219	68	197	188	5	158	18
Hotchkiss	875	110	765	706	9	23	4	1	22	0
Lazear	168	28	140	127	2	2	0	0	9	0
Orchard City	3,142	310	2,832	2,670	10	66	26	1	54	5
Paonia	1,447	102	1,345	1,240	7	42	14	0	39	3
Delta County	31,196	4,329	26,867	24,907	212	717	337	18	621	55
Dolores County										
Unincorporated	1,403	94	1,309	1,158	18	79	6	3	36	9
Dove Creek	635	49	586	552	2	23	0	2	6	1
Rico	288	33	255	240	0	7	3	0	4	1
Dolores County	2,326	176	2,150	1,950	20	109	9	5	46	11
* Eagle County										
Unincorporated	2,154	638	1,516	1,434	9	32	6	4	26	5
Basalt	2,917	485	2,432	2,271	20	18	72	1	44	6
Dotsero	1,172	831	341	305	3	9	13	1	9	1
El Jebel	4,130	1,733	2,397	2,197	12	41	67	12	59	9
* Gypsum	35	18	17	8	0	8	0	0	1	0
* Eagle County	10,408	3,705	6,703	6,215	44	108	158	18	139	21
Garfield County										
Unincorporated	17,960	4,741	13,219	12,329	110	331	129	23	262	35
Battlement Mesa	5,438	1,404	4,034	3,630	72	151	52	12	106	11
Carbonate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbondale	6,434	1,965	4,469	4,097	31	81	94	3	150	13
Catherine	235	20	215	200	3	2	2	1	7	0
Cattle Creek	662	401	261	246	2	4	4	1	2	2
Chacara	331	56	275	251	4	6	1	0	11	2
Glenwood Springs	9,963	3,532	6,431	5,885	63	156	166	9	135	17
Mulford	259	24	235	223	2	1	4	0	4	1
New Castle	4,923	1,457	3,466	3,155	43	99	76	2	79	12
No Name	117	17	100	95	0	4	1	0	0	0
Parachute	1,390	328	1,062	939	26	61	11	2	16	7
Rifle	10,437	4,245	6,192	5,606	91	242	85	17	129	22
Silt	3,536	1,374	2,162	1,980	17	66	38	1	54	6
Garfield County	61,685	19,564	42,121	38,636	464	1,204	663	71	955	128
Gunnison County										
Unincorporated	7,518	473	7,045	6,639	24	142	68	2	139	31
Crested Butte	1,639	79	1,560	1,460	9	14	40	2	34	1
Gunnison	6,560	987	5,573	5,090	83	145	87	4	143	21
Marble	133	15	118	113	0	3	0	0	2	0
Mount Crested Butte	941	49	892	836	3	20	8	1	22	2

Pitkin	72	0	72	66	0	2	3	0	1	0
Somerset	55	1	54	50	0	0	2	0	0	2
Gunnison County	16,918	1,604	15,314	14,254	119	326	208	9	341	57
Hinsdale County										
Unincorporated	310	10	300	275	1	3	4	0	11	6
Cathedral	15	0	15	14	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lake City	432	17	415	379	7	11	4	1	4	9
Piedra	31	3	28	25	1	0	0	0	0	2
Hinsdale County	788	30	758	693	9	14	8	1	15	18
Huerfano County										
Unincorporated	2,803	478	2,325	2,151	23	83	23	0	40	5
Gardner	106	44	62	47	1	7	0	0	7	0
La Veta	862	86	776	726	6	25	5	0	11	3
Walsenburg	3,049	1,522	1,527	1,307	39	96	20	0	53	12
Huerfano County	6,820	2,130	4,690	4,231	69	211	48	0	111	20
La Plata County										
Unincorporated	32,651	3,809	28,842	25,450	149	2,246	319	35	552	91
Bayfield	2,838	463	2,375	2,118	18	157	23	1	50	8
Durango	19,071	2,309	16,762	14,435	185	1,332	322	22	405	61
Ignacio	852	377	475	280	2	173	14	1	2	3
Marvel	68	23	45	40	0	3	0	2	0	0
Southern Ute	158	27	131	69	0	60	0	0	0	2
La Plata County	55,638	7,008	48,630	42,392	354	3,971	678	61	1,009	165
Las Animas County										
Unincorporated	4,788	1,224	3,564	3,234	102	116	35	1	66	10
Aguilar	456	214	242	223	2	7	0	0	8	2
Branson	57	8	49	44	0	0	0	0	5	0
Cokedale	127	25	102	95	0	4	1	0	2	0
El Moro	216	57	159	149	0	6	1	0	3	0
Hoehne	80	36	44	42	0	1	0	0	0	1
Jansen	101	46	55	51	0	2	2	0	0	0
Kim	63	18	45	43	0	1	0	0	1	0
Lynn	11	6	5	1	0	0	0	2	1	1
Segundo	100	32	68	59	2	7	0	0	0	0
Starkville	62	28	34	26	2	1	2	0	3	0
Stonewall Gap	66	4	62	59	0	3	0	0	0	0
Trinidad	8,329	3,867	4,462	3,909	107	169	108	18	117	34
Valdez	46	34	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0
Weston	53	33	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
Las Animas County	14,555	5,632	8,923	7,965	215	319	149	21	206	48
Mesa County										
Unincorporated	27,574	3,267	24,307	22,411	231	717	285	36	564	63
Clifton	20,413	4,922	15,491	14,074	251	568	185	29	340	44
Collbran	369	27	342	330	1	11	0	0	0	0
De Beque	493	47	446	393	8	23	6	0	13	3
Fruita	13,395	1,585	11,810	10,916	139	342	164	38	187	24
Fruita	8,271	1,185	7,086	6,446	89	216	144	28	144	19
Grand Junction	65,560	10,328	55,232	49,824	857	1,554	1,427	170	1,197	203
Loma	1,314	66	1,248	1,169	4	46	12	0	17	0
Orchard Mesa	6,688	907	5,781	5,323	43	163	83	6	147	16
Palisade	2,565	304	2,261	2,081	13	68	37	9	44	9
Redlands	9,061	640	8,421	7,782	53	148	198	16	199	25
Mesa County	155,703	23,278	132,425	120,749	1,689	3,856	2,541	332	2,852	406
Mineral County										
Unincorporated	608	28	580	548	3	15	2	3	4	5
City of Creede	257	19	238	227	0	5	1	0	2	3
Mineral County	865	47	818	775	3	20	3	3	6	8
Moffat County										
Unincorporated	3,913	368	3,545	3,328	16	125	27	2	32	15
Craig	9,060	1,727	7,333	6,713	127	236	72	2	165	18
Dinosaur	243	27	216	201	4	8	1	0	2	0
Maybell	76	2	74	71	0	2	0	0	0	1
Moffat County	13,292	2,124	11,168	10,313	147	371	100	4	199	34
Montezuma County										
Unincorporated	13,625	1,304	12,321	10,641	89	1,206	92	21	247	25
Cortez	8,766	1,480	7,286	5,563	67	1,404	112	18	94	28
Dolores	885	91	794	709	4	64	2	0	11	4
Lewis	257	22	235	226	0	5	1	1	0	2
Mancos	1,196	181	1,015	890	15	82	9	0	15	4
Towaoc	1,120	31	1,089	6	2	1,069	0	3	5	4
Montezuma County	25,849	3,109	22,740	18,035	177	3,830	216	43	372	67
Montrose County										
Unincorporated	19,127	3,319	15,808	14,631	102	523	166	29	324	33
Montrose	20,291	4,491	15,800	14,613	157	389	317	23	246	55
Naturita	485	29	456	405	5	28	4	3	10	1
Nucla	585	40	545	515	0	23	1	1	3	2
Olathe	2,019	1,144	875	801	13	35	3	1	18	4
Redvale	172	4	168	161	0	7	0	0	0	0

Montrose County	42,679	9,027	33,652	31,126	277	1,005	491	57	601	95
Otero County										
Unincorporated	4,452	1,094	3,358	3,168	20	80	21	4	55	10
Cheraw	237	60	177	158	0	11	1	0	7	0
Fowler	1,253	234	1,019	959	11	28	4	0	10	7
La Junta	7,322	3,610	3,712	3,264	113	134	76	17	89	19
La Junta Gardens	123	42	81	75	1	4	1	0	0	0
Manzanola	341	149	192	161	1	15	1	3	10	1
North La Junta	482	155	327	317	0	10	0	0	0	0
Rocky Ford	3,876	2,208	1,668	1,481	42	57	35	7	32	14
Swink	604	150	454	430	6	11	5	0	2	0
Otero County	18,690	7,702	10,988	10,013	194	350	144	31	205	51
Ouray County										
Unincorporated	2,004	107	1,897	1,783	4	45	7	2	51	5
Colona	36	4	32	28	0	2	1	0	1	0
Loughill Village	617	22	595	571	2	9	3	0	8	2
Ouray	898	75	823	767	9	18	19	0	7	3
Portland	136	6	130	123	0	4	0	0	2	1
Ridgway	1,183	78	1,105	1,036	11	15	16	0	27	0
Ouray County	4,874	292	4,582	4,308	26	93	46	2	96	11
Pitkin County										
Unincorporated	5,767	651	5,116	4,857	26	49	87	4	81	12
Aspen	7,004	766	6,238	5,753	85	48	223	5	105	19
Basalt	1,067	177	890	820	14	9	23	5	18	1
Norrie	7	0	7	6	0	0	1	0	0	0
Redstone	127	9	118	111	3	2	1	0	1	0
Snowmass Village	3,096	261	2,835	2,659	35	23	71	1	44	2
Woody Creek	290	28	262	227	7	5	15	0	8	0
Pitkin County	17,358	1,892	15,466	14,433	170	136	421	15	257	34
Pueblo County										
Unincorporated	17,776	4,629	13,147	12,110	146	379	143	18	316	35
Avondale	594	376	218	190	6	16	1	1	4	0
Beulah Valley	518	46	472	440	5	15	1	1	8	2
Blende	788	380	408	366	10	18	1	0	10	3
Boone	305	122	183	170	2	6	4	0	1	0
Colorado City	2,237	299	1,938	1,822	14	59	5	0	32	6
Pueblo	111,876	55,133	56,743	48,001	3,177	2,199	1,453	170	1,376	367
Pueblo West	33,086	8,418	24,668	22,012	593	740	601	56	541	125
Rye	206	32	174	161	5	6	0	0	2	0
Salt Creek	507	410	97	85	4	4	2	0	1	1
Vineland	269	76	193	170	5	7	0	0	9	2
Pueblo County	168,162	69,921	98,241	85,527	3,967	3,449	2,211	246	2,300	541
Rio Blanco County										
Unincorporated	1,856	100	1,756	1,647	8	46	8	0	36	11
Meeker	2,374	258	2,116	1,972	16	77	12	3	28	8
Rangely	2,299	264	2,035	1,890	15	65	19	0	35	11
Rio Blanco County	6,529	622	5,907	5,509	39	188	39	3	99	30
Rio Grande County										
Unincorporated	4,847	1,165	3,682	3,392	26	131	34	7	73	19
Alpine	169	20	149	135	2	2	3	1	5	1
Center	44	40	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Del Norte	1,458	706	752	680	12	24	2	2	25	7
Gerrard	264	22	242	232	0	8	0	0	1	1
Monte Vista	4,247	2,566	1,681	1,435	36	116	21	2	53	18
South Fork	510	90	420	385	11	15	1	1	7	0
Rio Grande County	11,539	4,609	6,930	6,261	87	296	61	13	165	47
Saguache County										
Unincorporated	3,678	503	3,175	2,893	19	130	53	6	56	18
Bonanza	17	5	12	8	0	2	0	0	1	1
Center	1,885	1,693	192	143	2	35	1	0	8	3
Crestone	141	11	130	111	1	2	12	1	3	0
Moffat	108	5	103	89	0	8	4	0	1	1
Saguache	539	177	362	334	2	12	5	1	4	4
Saguache County	6,368	2,394	3,974	3,578	24	189	75	8	73	27
San Juan County										
Unincorporated	83	9	74	71	0	0	1	0	0	2
Silverton	622	81	541	504	1	19	1	0	8	8
San Juan County	705	90	615	575	1	19	2	0	8	10
San Miguel County										
Unincorporated	3,069	181	2,888	2,744	10	71	8	0	49	6
Mountain Village	1,264	223	1,041	948	11	17	32	0	30	3
Norwood	535	107	428	384	4	28	1	0	8	3
Ophir	197	13	184	168	5	5	3	0	3	0
Placerville	362	17	345	321	3	11	0	0	10	0
Sawpit	38	0	38	36	0	0	1	0	0	1
Telluride	2,607	341	2,266	2,156	12	24	44	4	24	2
San Miguel County	8,072	882	7,190	6,757	45	156	89	4	124	15

District 3 Total	721,714	185,794	535,920	481,566	8,774	22,011	9,141	996	11,369	2,063
	100%	25.74%	74.26%	66.73%	1.22%	3.05%	1.27%	0.14%	1.58%	0.29%
District 4										
* Adams County										
Unincorporated	7,492	1,466	6,026	5,566	82	160	90	18	94	16
* Bennett	2,443	499	1,944	1,689	80	68	29	15	42	21
Strasburg	2,036	341	1,695	1,537	26	50	39	1	31	11
Watkins	88	29	59	48	2	7	0	0	2	0
* Adams County	12,059	2,335	9,724	8,840	190	285	158	34	169	48
* Arapahoe County										
Unincorporated	28,256	4,609	23,647	15,750	3,311	344	3,493	78	353	318
Aetna Estates	1,496	1,147	349	285	29	23	0	1	5	6
* Aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Bennett	419	56	363	316	17	12	10	0	7	1
Brick Center	105	19	86	76	6	0	0	0	4	0
Byers	1,322	123	1,199	1,113	16	24	8	0	32	6
* Centennial	2,686	477	2,209	1,694	248	43	169	0	34	21
Comanche Creek	442	65	377	362	1	4	3	0	4	3
Deer Trail	1,068	271	797	736	13	25	12	0	11	0
Peoria	153	37	116	103	2	2	8	0	0	1
Strasburg	1,271	220	1,051	948	29	35	11	1	22	5
Watkins	594	86	508	450	13	8	13	3	6	15
* Arapahoe County	37,812	7,110	30,702	21,833	3,685	520	3,727	83	478	376
Baca County										
Unincorporated	1,291	61	1,230	1,163	10	36	1	0	14	6
Campo	103	8	95	85	2	8	0	0	0	0
Pritchett	112	10	102	97	0	2	0	0	3	0
Springfield	1,325	158	1,167	1,066	15	60	7	0	16	3
Two Buttes	34	2	32	30	0	2	0	0	0	0
Vilas	98	19	79	72	0	6	0	0	1	0
Walsh	543	88	455	403	1	34	0	0	15	2
Baca County	3,506	346	3,160	2,916	28	148	8	0	49	11
Bent County										
Unincorporated	3,039	751	2,288	1,904	226	110	27	0	17	4
Hasty	182	45	137	124	3	3	1	0	1	5
Las Animas	2,300	927	1,373	1,199	43	81	19	0	19	12
McClave	129	37	92	88	3	1	0	0	0	0
Bent County	5,650	1,760	3,890	3,315	275	195	47	0	37	21
Cheyenne County										
Unincorporated	633	50	583	561	9	5	0	0	5	3
Arapahoe	102	9	93	89	0	1	0	0	0	3
Cheyenne Wells	758	97	661	636	3	13	4	0	2	3
Kit Carson	255	50	205	184	2	9	0	0	8	2
Cheyenne County	1,748	206	1,542	1,470	14	28	4	0	15	11
Crowley County										
Unincorporated	4,116	1,104	3,012	2,292	495	143	58	2	9	13
Crowley	166	57	109	105	0	4	0	0	0	0
Olney Springs	315	70	245	213	4	18	5	0	3	2
Ordway	1,066	326	740	660	12	35	13	0	16	4
Sugar City	259	51	208	168	9	20	3	0	5	3
Crowley County	5,922	1,608	4,314	3,438	520	220	79	2	33	22
* Douglas County										
Unincorporated	37,149	3,013	34,136	30,044	728	592	1,989	50	546	187
Acres Green	2,922	414	2,508	2,196	44	61	143	11	42	11
* Aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Castle Pines	11,036	754	10,282	9,230	152	155	546	24	144	31
Castle Pines Village	4,327	194	4,133	3,821	62	49	148	1	40	12
Castle Rock	73,158	8,536	64,622	57,509	1,505	1,220	2,673	185	1,237	293
Franktown	409	27	382	349	0	16	7	0	4	6
Grand View Estates	689	64	625	566	24	6	12	3	3	11
Highlands Ranch	103,444	9,044	94,400	80,150	1,783	1,261	9,102	145	1,612	347
Larkspur	206	25	181	170	3	1	0	2	5	0
* Littleton	640	103	537	471	18	11	30	2	4	1
Lone Tree	14,253	1,202	13,051	10,690	358	148	1,562	16	182	95
Louviers	293	26	267	247	2	10	3	0	3	2
Meridian	4,786	535	4,251	2,994	204	58	897	8	59	31
Meridian Village	3,202	227	2,975	2,252	67	13	581	5	42	15
Parker	58,512	6,341	52,171	44,166	1,535	832	4,393	131	848	266
Perry Park	1,932	106	1,826	1,683	19	43	45	0	33	3
Roxborough Park	9,416	914	8,502	7,668	103	202	319	23	156	31
Sedalia	177	21	156	146	0	6	2	0	1	1
Sierra Ridge	3,490	342	3,148	1,911	128	39	1,012	6	35	17
Stepping Stone	2,780	176	2,604	1,843	30	37	650	2	33	9
Sterling Ranch	1,789	185	1,604	1,388	20	23	141	3	21	8
Stonegate	9,072	805	8,267	7,258	167	79	550	17	161	35
The Pinery	11,311	825	10,486	9,614	186	142	331	25	138	50
Westcreek	120	8	112	104	0	2	5	0	0	1
* Douglas County	355,113	33,887	321,226	276,470	7,138	5,006	25,141	659	5,349	1,463

Elbert County										
Unincorporated	19,460	1,511	17,949	16,599	199	427	306	31	338	49
Elbert	188	19	169	154	1	9	0	2	3	0
Elizabeth	1,675	184	1,491	1,364	9	40	26	1	44	7
Kiowa	725	54	671	635	3	12	4	2	13	2
Matheson	79	3	76	73	0	1	0	0	2	0
Ponderosa Park	3,334	247	3,087	2,855	29	74	61	3	57	8
Simla	601	49	552	505	8	27	2	1	3	6
Elbert County	26,062	2,067	23,995	22,185	249	590	399	40	460	72
* El Paso County										
Unincorporated	7,186	765	6,421	5,745	112	269	88	26	135	46
Calhan	762	46	716	641	8	39	7	1	13	7
Ramah	111	4	107	91	4	7	4	0	0	1
* El Paso County	8,059	815	7,244	6,477	124	315	99	27	148	54
Kiowa County										
Unincorporated	609	42	567	541	3	6	1	1	2	13
Brandon	21	1	20	19	0	0	0	0	1	0
Eads	672	55	617	562	9	23	8	0	10	5
Haswell	71	3	68	61	0	2	1	0	4	0
Sheridan Lake	55	3	52	46	0	1	0	0	0	5
Towner	18	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kiowa County	1,446	104	1,342	1,247	12	32	10	1	17	23
Kit Carson County										
Unincorporated	2,242	251	1,991	1,895	8	35	10	0	34	9
Bethune	183	56	127	117	0	4	3	0	3	0
Burlington	3,172	950	2,222	2,035	25	76	23	5	49	9
Flagler	567	30	537	503	6	20	2	0	5	1
Seibert	172	16	156	146	0	9	0	0	1	0
Stratton	656	99	557	527	4	11	5	0	4	6
Vona	95	7	88	83	0	1	3	0	1	0
Kit Carson County	7,087	1,409	5,678	5,306	43	156	46	5	97	25
* Larimer County										
Unincorporated	12,192	2,014	10,178	9,422	126	212	147	16	217	38
* Fort Collins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Loveland	76,371	9,915	66,456	60,839	983	1,713	1,336	112	1,217	256
Wellington	11,047	1,668	9,379	8,459	189	277	158	14	246	36
Windsor	7,719	453	7,266	6,706	70	101	229	12	136	12
* Larimer County	107,329	14,050	93,279	85,426	1,368	2,303	1,870	154	1,816	342
Lincoln County										
Unincorporated	2,490	447	2,043	1,680	251	67	17	3	18	7
Arriba	202	2	200	181	0	13	3	0	3	0
Genoa	153	6	147	141	0	5	0	1	0	0
Hugo	787	33	754	697	3	30	5	3	15	1
Limon	2,043	321	1,722	1,553	31	59	26	19	26	8
Lincoln County	5,675	809	4,866	4,252	285	174	51	26	62	16
Logan County										
Unincorporated	6,279	509	5,770	5,542	24	71	51	3	72	7
Atwood	138	10	128	123	0	1	1	0	1	2
Crook	133	14	119	111	0	1	3	0	0	4
Fleming	428	29	399	380	0	12	0	0	7	0
Iliff	246	34	212	209	2	0	0	0	1	0
Merino	281	21	260	255	0	2	1	1	1	0
Padroni	75	13	62	59	2	0	0	0	0	1
Peetz	213	15	198	187	2	6	0	0	3	0
Sterling	13,735	2,866	10,869	9,471	788	313	129	20	113	35
Logan County	21,528	3,511	18,017	16,337	818	406	185	24	198	49
Morgan County										
Unincorporated	8,507	1,804	6,703	6,372	52	140	39	2	82	16
Blue Sky	65	18	47	45	0	2	0	0	0	0
Brush	5,339	2,096	3,243	2,976	78	79	54	2	49	5
Fort Morgan	11,597	5,588	6,009	4,795	835	173	112	4	77	13
Hillrose	312	54	258	250	0	5	1	0	2	0
Jackson Lake	131	6	125	117	0	6	0	0	2	0
Log Lane Village	913	526	387	334	18	17	4	0	9	5
Morgan Heights	298	43	255	247	1	6	0	1	0	0
Orchard	76	13	63	58	0	4	1	0	0	0
Saddle Ridge	66	3	63	62	0	1	0	0	0	0
Snyder	136	33	103	93	0	9	0	1	0	0
Trail Side	157	44	113	109	1	1	1	1	0	0
Weldona	113	16	97	95	0	2	0	0	0	0
Wiggins	1,401	322	1,079	993	35	23	13	0	12	3
Morgan County	29,111	10,566	18,545	16,546	1,020	468	225	11	233	42
Phillips County										
Unincorporated	1,105	109	996	970	5	14	2	0	1	4
Amherst	47	8	39	38	0	0	0	0	1	0
Haxton	981	67	914	874	8	19	2	1	4	6
Holyoke	2,346	965	1,381	1,322	4	22	20	0	4	9
Paoli	51	6	45	42	0	2	1	0	0	0
Phillips County	4,530	1,155	3,375	3,246	17	57	25	1	10	19

Prowers County										
Unincorporated	2,537	544	1,993	1,861	8	76	1	1	43	3
Granada	445	314	131	118	1	9	0	0	3	0
Hartman	56	21	35	30	0	5	0	0	0	0
Holly	837	422	415	368	7	22	7	0	10	1
Lamar	7,687	3,266	4,421	3,994	105	223	28	7	57	7
Wiley	437	113	324	315	1	5	1	0	2	0
Prowers County	11,999	4,680	7,319	6,686	122	340	37	8	115	11
Sedgwick County										
Unincorporated	654	56	598	570	0	7	7	0	9	5
Julesburg	1,307	215	1,092	1,035	6	25	10	0	7	9
Ovid	271	59	212	203	2	4	1	0	1	1
Sedgwick	172	33	139	126	0	3	4	0	6	0
Sedgwick County	2,404	363	2,041	1,934	8	39	22	0	23	15
Washington County										
Unincorporated	2,496	195	2,301	2,186	6	51	11	6	30	11
Akron	1,757	268	1,489	1,389	30	33	8	4	18	7
Cope	53	7	46	46	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otis	511	46	465	436	1	14	0	0	12	2
Washington County	4,817	516	4,301	4,057	37	98	19	10	60	20
* Weld County										
Unincorporated	14,598	1,912	12,686	11,884	69	298	88	21	299	27
Ault	1,887	508	1,379	1,261	13	49	10	2	42	2
Briggsdale	134	1	133	116	0	7	4	0	5	1
Eaton	5,802	964	4,838	4,495	41	124	102	19	53	4
* Greeley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grover	157	19	138	126	1	7	0	1	2	1
Hudson	1,651	550	1,101	1,025	13	21	15	0	20	7
Keenesburg	1,250	165	1,085	1,003	29	33	3	0	15	2
Nunn	504	67	437	400	3	18	9	2	5	0
Pierce	1,097	281	816	751	6	25	9	2	22	1
Raymer (New Raymer)	110	4	106	99	0	3	0	3	1	0
Severance	7,683	1,085	6,598	6,093	92	163	138	9	86	17
Windsor	24,997	2,819	22,178	20,592	282	410	533	23	279	59
* Weld County	59,870	8,375	51,495	47,845	549	1,158	911	82	829	121
Yuma County										
Unincorporated	3,618	460	3,158	3,057	5	34	11	0	46	5
Eckley	232	78	154	149	1	1	0	1	1	1
Idalia	97	26	71	70	0	1	0	0	0	0
Joes	82	11	71	69	0	1	0	0	1	0
Kirk	61	6	55	54	0	0	0	0	1	0
Laird	46	3	43	41	1	0	1	0	0	0
Vernon	38	4	34	32	0	0	1	0	1	0
Wray	2,358	649	1,709	1,624	23	27	12	1	16	6
Yuma	3,456	1,534	1,922	1,852	9	28	8	1	19	5
Yuma County	9,988	2,771	7,217	6,948	39	92	33	3	85	17
District 4 Total	721,715	98,443	623,272	546,774	16,541	12,630	33,096	1,170	10,283	2,778
	100%	13.64%	86.36%	75.76%	2.29%	1.75%	4.59%	0.16%	1.42%	0.38%
District 5										
* El Paso County										
Unincorporated	71,849	10,295	61,554	51,259	3,734	1,678	2,547	396	1,243	697
Air Force Academy	6,608	935	5,673	4,365	578	76	506	54	35	59
Black Forest	15,097	1,092	14,005	12,705	268	279	353	19	325	56
Cascade-Chipita Park	1,628	92	1,536	1,435	16	37	19	2	11	16
Cimarron Hills	19,311	4,518	14,793	11,052	1,700	471	872	113	315	270
Colorado Springs	478,961	87,897	391,064	312,752	32,820	9,637	22,432	1,996	6,929	4,498
Ellicott	1,248	484	764	654	26	32	12	1	30	9
Fort Carson	17,693	3,843	13,850	9,386	2,629	308	820	228	333	146
Fountain	29,802	7,061	22,741	16,329	3,279	724	1,113	389	439	468
Gleneagle	6,649	538	6,111	5,270	216	125	389	8	78	25
Manitou Springs	4,858	337	4,521	4,125	72	115	102	7	87	13
Monument	10,399	941	9,458	8,300	248	203	413	15	218	61
Palmer Lake	2,636	241	2,395	2,211	26	30	53	2	62	11
Peyton	214	21	193	176	0	5	4	0	4	4
Rock Creek Park	68	6	62	50	2	7	1	0	0	2
Security-Widefield	38,639	8,145	30,494	22,196	4,085	968	1,618	495	618	514
Stratmoor	6,518	2,088	4,430	3,156	674	179	223	33	76	89
Woodmoor	9,536	604	8,932	8,041	158	181	320	18	161	53
* El Paso County	721,714	129,138	592,576	473,462	50,531	15,055	31,797	3,776	10,964	6,991
District 5 Total	721,714	129,138	592,576	473,462	50,531	15,055	31,797	3,776	10,964	6,991
	100%	17.89%	82.11%	65.60%	7%	2.09%	4.41%	0.52%	1.52%	0.97%
District 6										
* Adams County										
Unincorporated	423	104	319	288	4	7	6	2	8	4
* Aurora	47,720	24,839	22,881	10,841	7,430	447	3,106	249	438	370
* Bennett	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Adams County	48,143	24,943	23,200	11,129	7,434	454	3,112	251	446	374
* Arapahoe County	16,545	2,125	14,420	10,047	1,077	173	2,700	26	255	142
Unincorporated	336,035	91,859	244,176	148,121	57,265	4,230	25,321	1,570	4,147	3,522
* Aurora	587	24	563	514	1	6	16	0	21	5
Bow Mar	105,732	9,941	95,791	79,697	4,188	1,383	8,215	201	1,594	513
* Centennial	11,488	1,064	10,424	7,931	300	128	1,787	9	214	55
Cherry Creek	6,442	267	6,175	5,595	85	55	321	5	103	11
Cherry Hills Village	1,982	174	1,808	1,677	15	22	54	1	32	7
Columbine	1,502	63	1,439	1,371	7	9	32	0	13	7
Columbine Valley	5,640	1,030	4,610	3,039	643	111	667	9	78	63
Dove Valley	33,659	6,418	27,241	23,428	1,148	757	1,144	57	534	173
Englewood	22,872	4,461	18,411	10,274	5,575	323	1,681	42	273	243
Four Square Mile	754	54	700	596	17	5	66	6	5	5
Foxfield	15,691	999	14,692	11,935	422	110	1,903	22	231	69
Greenwood Village	2,226	260	1,966	1,420	140	16	344	0	31	15
Inverness	42,702	5,938	36,764	32,905	832	796	1,347	53	654	177
* Littleton	6,105	2,597	3,508	2,710	287	150	243	9	62	47
Sheridan										
* Arapahoe County	609,962	127,274	482,688	341,260	72,002	8,274	45,841	2,010	8,247	5,054
* Denver County										
* Denver	1,104	189	915	753	71	5	61	3	17	5
* Denver County	1,104	189	915	753	71	5	61	3	17	5
* Douglas County										
Unincorporated	359	19	340	312	2	10	8	0	6	2
* Aurora	2,506	204	2,302	1,988	77	32	168	1	29	7
* Douglas County	2,865	223	2,642	2,300	79	42	176	1	35	9
* Jefferson County										
Unincorporated	7	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bow Mar	266	24	242	216	7	2	15	0	2	0
Columbine	23,247	2,582	20,665	18,681	306	388	811	19	391	69
Ken Caryl	33,811	4,010	29,801	26,669	492	600	1,270	38	639	93
* Littleton	2,310	160	2,150	1,948	16	37	112	6	28	3
* Jefferson County	59,641	6,778	52,863	47,519	821	1,027	2,208	63	1,060	165
District 6 Total	721,715	159,407	562,308	402,961	80,407	9,802	51,398	2,328	9,805	5,607
	100%	22.09%	77.91%	55.83%	11.14%	1.36%	7.12%	0.32%	1.36%	0.78%
District 7										
* Adams County										
* Arvada	2,892	905	1,987	1,601	38	60	243	0	35	10
* Adams County	2,892	905	1,987	1,601	38	60	243	0	35	10
* Boulder County	17	3	14	4	2	2	5	0	0	1
* Broomfield County										
* Broomfield	74,112	9,919	64,193	53,904	1,351	1,062	6,292	133	1,153	298
* Broomfield County	74,112	9,919	64,193	53,904	1,351	1,062	6,292	133	1,153	298
Chaffee County										
Unincorporated	9,155	893	8,262	7,538	269	207	79	7	148	14
Buena Vista	2,855	206	2,649	2,418	31	53	55	3	76	13
Garfield	27	1	26	24	0	1	0	0	1	0
Johnson Village	299	23	276	261	5	7	2	0	1	0
Maysville	173	7	166	158	2	1	3	0	2	0
Nathrop	288	18	270	247	1	10	3	0	6	0
Poncha Springs	925	95	830	768	2	28	23	1	6	2
Salida	5,666	584	5,082	4,715	36	129	74	7	107	14
Smellertown	88	14	74	66	0	3	1	0	3	1
Chaffee County	19,476	1,841	17,635	16,195	346	439	240	21	350	44
Custer County										
Unincorporated	3,660	124	3,536	3,302	28	87	19	2	84	14
Silver Cliff	609	24	585	538	2	27	2	3	9	4
Westcliffe	435	30	405	372	4	13	4	1	8	3
Custer County	4,704	178	4,526	4,212	34	127	25	6	101	21
* El Paso County										
Green Mountain Falls	622	31	591	545	8	21	11	1	4	1
* El Paso County	622	31	591	545	8	21	11	1	4	1
Fremont County										
Unincorporated	14,323	2,406	11,917	9,496	1,496	583	150	17	153	22
Brookside	236	18	218	205	1	9	0	0	3	0
Cañon City	17,141	1,888	15,253	13,907	335	511	163	20	291	26
Coal Creek	364	20	344	317	3	4	2	1	17	0
Coaldale	343	19	324	301	0	17	2	0	4	0
Cotopaxi	44	1	43	37	0	2	0	0	2	0
Florence	3,822	530	3,292	2,852	45	136	38	3	211	7
Howard	852	57	795	745	0	22	6	3	14	5
Lincoln Park	3,934	310	3,624	3,355	35	112	15	8	84	15
Park Center	2,953	325	2,628	2,384	40	115	11	1	72	5
Penrose	3,685	349	3,336	3,101	21	100	41	4	63	6

Rockvale	511	55	456	418	2	15	3	1	17	0
Williamsburg	731	71	660	595	4	26	6	2	26	1
Fremont County	48,939	6,049	42,890	37,713	1,982	1,652	437	60	957	89
* Jefferson County										
Unincorporated	67,294	6,172	61,122	55,310	672	1,143	2,583	102	1,146	166
Applewood	7,833	644	7,189	6,552	102	159	225	1	143	7
* Arvada	121,510	17,731	103,779	93,388	1,731	2,179	4,103	179	1,823	376
Aspen Park	810	63	747	693	3	26	7	0	17	1
* Brook Forest	334	5	329	303	6	1	4	1	14	0
Dakota Ridge	33,892	4,446	29,446	26,146	470	594	1,452	61	626	97
East Pleasant View	333	26	307	269	8	16	7	0	6	1
Edgewater	5,005	1,764	3,241	2,775	140	89	134	13	59	31
Evergreen	9,307	430	8,877	8,328	42	174	155	2	160	16
Fairmount	9,324	635	8,689	7,968	47	153	311	13	174	23
Genesee	3,610	157	3,453	3,203	18	32	139	4	51	6
Golden	20,399	1,970	18,429	16,372	309	312	1,065	15	305	51
Idledale	244	11	233	223	0	1	1	0	5	3
Indian Hills	1,474	73	1,401	1,313	4	28	22	0	32	2
Kittredge	1,308	63	1,245	1,167	12	23	20	1	19	3
Lakeside	16	3	13	7	0	0	1	0	4	1
Lakewood	155,984	35,423	120,561	103,355	3,649	3,055	7,351	286	2,311	554
Morrison	396	26	370	357	2	5	4	0	1	1
Mountain View	541	135	406	364	11	10	9	0	11	1
* Superior	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Pleasant View	4,327	642	3,685	3,252	84	97	160	2	77	13
* Westminster	45,077	6,996	38,081	32,503	926	875	2,850	46	732	149
Wheat Ridge	32,398	7,231	25,167	22,258	645	644	919	73	530	98
* Jefferson County	521,416	84,646	436,770	386,106	8,881	9,616	21,522	799	8,246	1,600
Lake County										
Unincorporated	2,707	1,402	1,305	1,165	15	54	27	3	29	12
Leadville	2,633	654	1,979	1,783	18	66	46	4	53	9
Leadville North	1,892	589	1,303	1,183	7	50	20	4	37	2
Twin Lakes	204	17	187	162	7	10	5	1	1	1
Lake County	7,436	2,662	4,774	4,293	47	180	98	12	120	24
Park County										
Unincorporated	16,221	1,146	15,075	13,908	136	438	188	13	342	50
Alma	296	26	270	245	0	12	4	0	8	1
Fairplay	724	55	669	626	6	17	10	0	10	0
Guffey	111	5	106	94	3	8	1	0	0	0
Hartsel	38	3	35	29	2	0	1	0	2	1
Park County	17,390	1,235	16,155	14,902	147	475	204	13	362	52
Teller County										
Unincorporated	14,716	955	13,761	12,624	123	447	191	21	326	29
Cripple Creek	1,155	87	1,068	963	20	27	15	2	36	5
Divide	143	6	137	115	3	7	3	0	9	0
Florissant	128	8	120	112	0	2	4	0	1	1
Goldfield	63	7	56	52	2	0	0	0	1	1
Green Mountain Falls	24	1	23	20	0	0	1	0	1	1
Midland	182	16	166	155	2	4	0	0	4	1
Victor	379	9	370	279	1	14	1	2	72	1
Woodland Park	7,920	627	7,293	6,697	101	201	129	4	135	26
Teller County	24,710	1,716	22,994	21,017	252	702	344	29	585	65
* Weld County										
* Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Weld County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 7 Total	721,714	109,185	612,529	540,492	13,088	14,336	29,421	1,074	11,913	2,205
	100%	15.13%	84.87%	74.89%	1.81%	1.99%	4.08%	0.15%	1.65%	0.31%
District 8										
* Adams County										
Unincorporated	13,850	4,774	9,076	7,829	193	228	547	18	184	77
Berkley	12,536	7,292	5,244	4,129	225	209	487	18	132	44
Brighton	39,718	16,955	22,763	19,589	742	704	984	97	501	146
Commerce City	62,418	30,505	31,913	24,835	2,812	863	2,344	121	638	300
Derby	8,407	6,056	2,351	1,965	110	128	57	2	64	25
Federal Heights	14,382	8,874	5,508	4,334	214	241	538	12	115	54
Lochbuie	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Washington	733	406	327	218	39	31	15	1	21	2
Northglenn	38,106	14,014	24,092	19,835	1,014	783	1,801	73	445	141
Shaw Heights	5,185	2,048	3,137	2,517	84	113	320	4	84	15
Sherrilwood	19,228	11,877	7,351	5,904	321	246	544	40	220	76
Thornton	141,867	51,392	90,475	72,316	3,513	2,260	9,770	250	1,832	534
Todd Creek	5,028	777	4,251	3,788	57	124	192	11	59	20
Twin Lakes	8,226	4,013	4,213	3,377	144	140	448	23	64	17
Welby	15,553	9,240	6,313	5,090	366	264	365	10	172	46
* Westminster	71,240	20,242	50,998	41,999	1,698	1,236	4,727	109	924	305
* Adams County	456,478	188,466	268,012	217,725	11,532	7,570	23,139	789	5,455	1,802
* Larimer County										
Unincorporated	2,311	193	2,118	1,993	8	27	36	0	50	4
Berthoud	10,071	1,084	8,987	8,296	66	228	174	12	186	25

Johnstown	4,756	741	4,015	3,592	88	90	172	1	59	13
* Larimer County	17,138	2,018	15,120	13,881	162	345	382	13	295	42
* Weld County										
Unincorporated	27,733	7,862	19,871	18,386	171	496	344	18	389	67
Aristocrat Ranchettes	1,715	1,012	703	623	17	20	8	0	32	3
Berthoud	261	38	223	205	1	9	7	0	1	0
Brighton	365	96	269	248	1	5	3	0	9	3
Dacono	6,297	2,187	4,110	3,600	82	127	211	12	64	14
* Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Evans	22,165	10,307	11,858	10,073	488	363	624	63	191	56
Firestone	16,381	3,486	12,895	11,501	211	313	556	22	229	63
Fort Lupton	7,955	4,396	3,559	3,187	77	129	67	5	69	25
Frederick	14,513	2,379	12,134	10,834	153	231	630	26	190	70
Garden City	254	182	72	63	4	3	1	0	1	0
Gilcrest	1,029	539	490	453	8	10	5	0	12	2
* Greeley	108,795	43,758	65,037	55,803	3,202	1,722	2,710	185	1,113	302
Johnstown	12,547	2,156	10,391	9,599	110	195	213	12	242	20
Kersey	1,495	458	1,037	980	5	27	10	0	13	2
La Salle	2,359	864	1,495	1,358	36	40	13	0	44	4
Lochbuie	8,087	3,682	4,405	3,843	141	141	151	11	97	21
Mead	4,781	626	4,155	3,845	40	77	97	7	79	10
Milliken	8,386	2,308	6,078	5,585	65	157	94	12	150	15
Northglenn	25	8	17	16	0	0	0	0	1	0
Platteville	2,955	1,279	1,676	1,506	13	78	23	6	49	1
Thornton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Weld County	248,098	87,623	160,475	141,708	4,825	4,143	5,767	379	2,975	678
District 8 Total	721,714	278,107	443,607	373,314	16,519	12,058	29,288	1,181	8,725	2,522
	100%	38.53%	61.47%	51.73%	2.29%	1.67%	4.06%	0.16%	1.21%	0.35%

Source: Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit H

**District Compactness Report
2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan**



Colorado Independent
Redistricting Commissions

District Compactness Report

Plan: 2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan

District	Polygon Area (sq. mi)	Perimeter (mi)	Reock	Area/Convex Hull	Grofman	Schwartzberg	Polsby Popper
1	155.73	148.02	0.18	0.49	11.86	3.35	0.09
2	11,590.12	664.75	0.66	0.90	6.17	1.74	0.33
3	49,414.38	1,432.75	0.35	0.76	6.45	1.82	0.30
4	32,637.51	1,187.31	0.41	0.83	6.57	1.85	0.29
5	1,475.99	182.26	0.55	0.91	4.74	1.34	0.56
6	311.31	200.22	0.26	0.66	11.35	3.20	0.10
7	7,190.54	606.07	0.40	0.80	7.15	2.02	0.25
8	1,036.66	250.43	0.40	0.74	7.78	2.19	0.21

Source: Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.

September 28, 2021

Final Congressional Redistricting Plan

DATE FILED: October 1, 2021 1:25 PM
FILED ID: 85C8DC7B173F
CASE NUMBER: 2021SA208

Exhibit I

**Report Pursuant to Article V, Section 44.3(3)(c)
Re Non-Partisan District Composition**



Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff

1580 Logan Street, Suite 430
Denver, CO 80203
303-866-2652

colorado.redistricting2020@state.co.us

MEMORANDUM

September 30, 2021

TO: Independent Congressional Redistricting Commission

FROM: Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff

SUBJECT: Report pursuant to Article v, Section 44.3 (3)(c)

Article V, Section 44.3 (3)(c) of the Colorado Constitution provides:

Section 44.3. Criteria for determinations of congressional districts - definition.

(c) When the commission approves a plan, or when nonpartisan staff submits a plan in the absence of the commission's approval of a plan as provided in section 44.4 of this article V, the nonpartisan staff shall, within seventy-two hours of such action, make publicly available, and include in the commission's record, a report to demonstrate how the plan reflects the evidence presented to, and the findings concerning, the extent to which competitiveness in district elections is fostered consistent with the other criteria set forth in this section.

The Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff submits the following report pursuant to that provision.

Evidence of Competitiveness

Pursuant to Article V, Section 44.3 (3) of the Colorado Constitution, at its public hearings throughout the state, the Colorado Independent Congressional Commission (the "Commission") solicited evidence relevant to the competitiveness of elections in Colorado. Many persons testifying at these hearings only encouraged the Commission to create competitive districts. Others testified as to alternative methods of measuring competitiveness or suggested modifications to the Commission's chosen approach. The people who made these suggestions appeared to be favoring one party or another.

The Commission did request that Dr. Bob Loevy, Professor Emeritus of Political Science at Colorado College and a member of the Colorado Reapportionment Commission in 2011, speak to the Commission on measuring competitiveness. Dr. Loevy reported that in 2011 the Colorado Reapportionment Commission measured competitiveness using a single, statewide race and reviewed how each proposed district would have voted in that race. If the difference between the percentage of votes cast for the Democratic and Republican candidates in that race was

less than 10% in a district, the district was considered competitive. Dr. Loevy also testified that, even though Colorado has a large number of voters who are not registered with any political party, the difference between the number of persons registered with the Democratic or Republican Party is a good source of information on the competitiveness of a district.

The Map Analytics Committee of the Commission also heard from Ben Schler, from Reasonable Districts Colorado, and Bradley Wascher, a data vendor at Inside Elections. Mr. Wascher said that a district's partisan performance through the use of election results is more valuable than voter registration. He recommended using a number of elections to measure partisan performance. Members of the subcommittee were worried about adjusting and weighing election results, noting that this might enable the analysis to be manipulated.

Finally, the Map Analytics Committee heard from Dr. Matthew Hitt, an Associate Professor in the Department of Political Science at Colorado State University. Dr. Hitt also believed that actual election results were more valuable in determining competitiveness than voter registration. He also suggested using multiple elections over multiple years. He suggested that the threshold for calling a district competitive should not exceed a 6% difference between the percentage of votes cast for Democratic and Republican candidates in a race.

Ultimately, the Commission's Map Analytics Committee recommended to the Commission that it use eight statewide races from the 2018 and 2020 General Elections to measure the competitiveness of proposed congressional districts. They selected the same races that the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission chose as its competitiveness measure for state legislative districts. The eight races are identified in Appendix A.

The Map Analytics Committee recommended that the Commission use election results rather than party registration for analyzing competitiveness, arguing that such results more accurately reflect how voters actually perform.

The Commission ultimately approved the Committee's recommendation at its meeting on August 12, 2021, and directed its nonpartisan staff to use the average of the eight identified races when creating congressional plans.

While the Commission engaged in discussions about what percentage difference between the votes cast for Democratic or Republican candidates evidenced a competitive district, the Commission did not define a percentage or a range of percentages that demonstrated this.

All of the plans for congressional redistricting presented to the Commission for its consideration included two reports: one showing the election results in each district for the eight elections and an average over all eight, and one showing the number and percentage of persons registered with the Democratic or Republican Party for each proposed congressional district.

Competitiveness of Approved Congressional Redistricting Plan

The Commission considered the competitiveness of each plan that was submitted to it. However, under the priority of criteria established in Article V, Section 44.3, competitiveness is the last item the Commission is to consider. While the Commission considered other plans that may have had more districts with lower percentages, the Commission believed that the Final Approved Congressional Redistricting Plan did a better job of preserving whole communities of interest and political subdivisions, which have higher priority than competitiveness.

The Statewide Election Result by District Report for the Final Approved Congressional Redistricting Plan is attached to this report as Appendix A, and the Active Registered Voters Report is provided as Appendix B.

APPENDIX A

Statewide Election Results by District

†Plan: 2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan



District	8 Elections Differential	2016 Senate Differential	2016 President Differential	2018 Attorney General Differential	2018 Governor Differential	2018 Treasurer Differential	2018 Secretary of State Differential	2018 CU Regent at Large Differential	2020 Senate Differential
1	-57.1%	-54.0%	-59.0%	-56.5%	-59.6%	-55.0%	-55.0%	-59.1%	-58.3%
2	-34.1%	-29.8%	-33.3%	-34.6%	-38.7%	-30.7%	-33.9%	-36.0%	-36.0%
3	9.3%	8.3%	15.3%	9.6%	6.1%	9.1%	7.5%	7.5%	10.5%
4	26.6%	25.9%	30.0%	29.4%	24.4%	26.9%	25.3%	26.4%	24.2%
5	20.2%	23.0%	24.2%	20.8%	16.8%	19.8%	22.2%	19.2%	15.8%
6	-15.1%	-12.8%	-14.4%	-11.9%	-17.4%	-14.4%	-14.7%	-16.6%	-19.0%
7	-6.9%	-4.3%	-3.2%	-4.9%	-10.2%	-6.7%	-7.5%	-8.4%	-10.0%
8	-1.3%	-2.3%	1.7%	1.7%	-1.9%	-3.6%	-2.9%	-1.6%	-1.7%

Results are reported as the difference between the percent of votes cast for the Republican candidate and the percent of votes cast for the Democratic candidate. A positive differential means the Republican won, and a negative differential means the Democrat won.

Source: Data provided by the Colorado Secretary of State's Office. Calculations prepared by Colorado Independent Redistricting Commissions Staff.
September 28, 2021



Active Registered Voters**

Plan: 2021 Final Approved Congressional Plan

District	Republican	Democrat	Minor Party**	Unaffiliated	Total
1	50,517 10.7%	215,572 45.9%	8,309 1.8%	195,584 41.6%	469,982
2	92,565 18.2%	180,257 35.4%	8,561 1.7%	227,147 44.7%	508,530
3	159,453 31.8%	130,824 26.1%	9,164 1.8%	202,544 40.3%	501,986
4	199,282 38.5%	94,651 18.3%	8,172 1.6%	216,043 41.7%	518,147
5	157,047 33.7%	92,939 20.0%	10,435 2.2%	205,149 44.1%	465,571
6	105,518 22.8%	148,378 32.1%	8,256 1.8%	200,355 43.3%	462,507
7	135,643 25.8%	148,275 28.2%	9,429 1.8%	233,077 44.3%	526,424
8	108,886 25.4%	120,827 28.2%	8,597 2.0%	189,997 44.4%	428,307

*As of June 15, 2021.

**Minor Party registrations include: American Constitution, Approval Voting, Green, Libertarian, and Unity.

Source: Colorado Secretary of State.